arXiv:1605.05049v2 [math.AG] 24 May 2016

RELATIVE DYNAMICAL DEGREES OF CORRESPONDENCES OVER A FIELD OF ARBITRARY CHARACTERISTIC

TUYEN TRUNG TRUONG

Abstract. Let K be an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic, X an irreducible variety and Y an irreducible projective variety over K, both are not necessarily smooth. Let $f : X \to X$ and $g : Y \to Y$ be dominant correspondences, and $\pi : X \to Y$ a dominant rational map such that $\pi \circ f = g \circ \pi$. We define relative dynamical degrees $\lambda_p(f|\pi)$ $(p = 0, \ldots, \dim(X) - \dim(Y))$. These degrees measure the relative growth of positive algebraic cycles, satisfy a product formula when Y is smooth and g is a multiple of a rational map, and are birational invariants. More generally, a weaker product formula is proven for more general semi-conjugacies, and for any generically finite semi-conjugacy (φ, ψ) from $(X_2, f_2) \to (Y_2, g_2)$ to $(X_1, f_1) \to (Y_1, g_1)$ we have $\lambda_p(f_1|\pi_1) \geq \lambda_p(f_2|\pi_2)$ for all p. Many of our results are new even when $K = \mathbb{C}$. We make use of de Jong's alterations and Roberts' version of Chow's moving lemma. In the lack of resolution of singularities, the consideration of correspondences is necessary even when f, g are rational maps. The case K is not algebraically closed further requires working with correspondences over reducible varieties.

1. Introduction

One important tool in Complex Dynamics is dynamical degrees for dominant meromorphic selfmaps. They are bimeromorphic invariants of a meromorphic selfmap $f : X \to X$ of a compact Kähler manifold X. The p-th dynamical degree $\lambda_p(f)$ is the exponential growth rate of the spectral radii of the pullbacks $(f^n)^*$ on the Dolbeault cohomology group $H^{p,p}(X)$. For a surjective holomorphic map f, the dynamical degree $\lambda_p(f)$ is simply the spectral radius of $f^* : H^{p,p}(X) \to H^{p,p}(X)$. Fundamental results of Gromov [21] and Yomdin [38] expressed the topological entropy of a surjective holomorphic map in terms of its dynamical degrees: $h_{top}(f) = \log \max_{0 \leq p \leq \dim(X)} \lambda_p(f)$. Since then, dynamical degrees have played a more and more important role in dynamics of meromorphic maps. In many results and conjectures in Complex Dynamics in higher dimensions, dynamical degrees play a central role.

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension k with a Kähler form ω_X, and let $f : X \to X$ be a dominant meromorphic map. For $0 \leq p \leq k$, the p-th dynamical degree

Date: May 25, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37F, 14D, 32U40, 32H50.

Key words and phrases. Alteration, Chow's moving lemma, Correspondence, Rational map, Relative dynamical degrees, Resolution of singularities.

The author was supported by Australian Research Council grants DP120104110 and DP150103442.

$\lambda_p(f)$ of f is defined as follows

$$\lambda_p(f) = \lim_{n\to\infty} (\int_X (f^n)^*(\omega_X^p) \wedge \omega_X^{k-p})^{1/n} = \lim_{n\to\infty} r_p(f^n)^{1/n}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $r_p(f^n)$ is the spectral radius of the linear map $(f^n)^* : H^{p,p}(X) \to H^{p,p}(X)$. The existence of the limit in the above expression is non-trivial and has been proven by Russakovskii and Shiffman [33] when $X = \mathbb{P}^k$, and by Dinh and Sibony [13][12] when X is compact Kähler. Both of these results use regularisation of positive closed currents. The limit in (1.1) is important in showing that dynamical degrees are birational invariants.

For meromorphic maps of compact Kähler manifolds with invariant fibrations, a more general notion called relative dynamical degrees has been defined by Dinh and Nguyen in [9]. (Here, by a fibration we simply mean a dominant rational map, without any additional requirements.) Via "product formulas" proven in [9] when X is complex projective and [10] when X is compact Kähler, these relative dynamical degrees provide a very useful tool to check whether a meromorphic map is primitive (i.e. has no invariant fibrations over a base which is of smaller dimension and not a point). Roughly speaking, primitive maps (first defined in [41]) are those which do not come from smaller dimensional manifolds, hence are "building blocks" from which all meromorphic maps can be constructed. In another direction, when $K = \mathbb{C}$, Dinh and Sibony [11] defined dynamical degrees and topological entropy for meromorphic correspondences over irreducible varieties. Computations of dynamical degrees of so-called Hurwitz correspondences of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{0,N}$ were given in [31], wherein a proof that dynamical degrees of correspondences (over $K = \mathbb{C}$, and for irreducible varieties) are birational invariants was also given.

Recently, work on birational maps of surfaces over an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic has become more and more popular. As some examples, we refer the readers to [16, 37, 3, 15, 29]. In these results, (relative) dynamical degrees also play an important role. Because of this and for further applications in algebraic dynamics, it is desirable to have a purely algebraic definition of (relative) dynamical degrees for a rational map over a field other than $\mathbb{C}$. The purpose of this paper is to define (relative) dynamical degrees for dominant rational maps over arbitrary fields and varieties. It turns out that the same idea can be applied to **dominant correspondences** as well.

Notation. Before continuing, let us recall some notation. Let K be a field and X, Y irreducible (not necessarily smooth or projective) varieties. A correspondence $f : X \to Y$ is given by an algebraic cycle $\Gamma_f = \sum_{i=1}^m \Gamma_i$ on $X \times Y$, where m is a positive integer and $\Gamma_i \subset X \times Y$ are irreducible subvarieties of dimension exactly $\dim(X)$. We do not assume that Γ_i are distinct, and hence may write the above sum as $\sum_j a_j \Gamma_j$ where Γ_j are distinct and a_j are positive integers. We will call Γ_f the graph of f, by abusing the usual notation when f is a rational map. If f is a correspondence and $a \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by af the correspondence whose graph is $a\Gamma_f$. In other words, if $\Gamma_f = \sum_i \Gamma_i$ then $\Gamma_{af} = \sum_i a\Gamma_i$. If $\Gamma_f = a\Gamma$ where Γ is irreducible and $a \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that the correspondence f is irreducible. A rational map f is an irreducible correspondence, since its graph is irreducible. A correspondence is dominant if for each i in the sum, the two natural projections from Γ_i to X, Y are dominant. Dominant correspondences can be composed and the resulting correspondence is also dominant. Given two dominant correspondences $f : X \to X$ and $g : Y \to Y$, we say that they are semi-conjugate if there is a dominant rational **map** $\pi : X \to Y$ such that

$\pi \circ f = g \circ \pi$. We will simply write $\pi : (X, f) \to (Y, g)$ to mean that π is a dominant rational map semi-conjugating (X, f) and (Y, g).

When the field K is such that resolutions of singularities exist for varieties over K up to dimension d, we established previously in [35] the existence of relative dynamical degrees of semi-conjugate dominant rational maps over spaces of dimension $\leq d$ and some basic properties. Let us remind briefly the ideas of the proof in this case. Given two dominant rational maps $f : X \to X$ and $g : Y \to Y$ which are semi-conjugated via a dominant rational map $\pi : X \to Y$, we can by using resolutions of singularities to reduce to the case where X and Y are smooth and π is regular. The results are established provided certain quantitative estimates of strict transforms by rational maps and strict intersections of varieties are available. To establish the needed estimates, in [35] we used a version of the Chow's moving lemma by Roberts [32]. By Hironaka's theorem, resolutions of singularities exist in all dimensions when K has characteristic 0. On a field of positive characteristic, resolutions of singularities are established for dimensions up to 3 ([39, 40, 1, 2, 7, 5, 6]) through the effort of many mathematicians. It is still an open question whether resolution of singularities exists for varieties in higher dimensions. This restricts the scope of applications of results in [35].

Our current paper overcomes this difficulty in positive characteristics by using - in addition to Roberts' version of Chow's moving lemma as in our previous work - de Jong's alteration and (relative) dynamical degrees of correspondences. Let us give a brief description of this idea. By seminal results of de Jong [25], an alteration is always available. More precisely, given an irreducible variety X, there always exist an irreducible smooth quasi-projective variety X_1 (having a smooth projective compactification) together with a generically finite, surjective regular morphism $\varphi : X_1 \to X$. Now, let $f : X \to X$ be a dominant rational map. To define dynamical degrees for f, in order to apply the strategy described above, the first step would be to find a dominant rational map $f_1 : X_1 \to X_1$ so that we have a semi-conjugacy $\varphi : (X_1, f_1) \to (X, f)$. On the one hand, the existence of such a map f_1 is not guaranteed when f and φ are fixed. On the other hand, a correspondence f_1 can readily be found so that $\varphi : (X_1, f_1) \to (X, \deg(\varphi)f)$ is a semi-conjugacy. If we can define dynamical degrees for the correspondence f_1, we can use them to define dynamical degrees for f. To prove that these dynamical degrees are well-defined, we need to show that they do not depend on the choice of the alteration X_1. This means that, in the lack of resolution of singularities, we need also prove that dynamical degrees are invariant for certain **generically finite** semi-conjugate correspondences. A special case of the needed result has been proven ([9]) for dynamical degrees of generically finite semi-conjugate meromorphic maps of compact Kähler manifolds.

Even if the varieties we are concerned are all projective and smooth, in the lack of resolution of singularities, the consideration of correspondences is still necessary if we want to define relative dynamical degrees for a semi-conjugacy of rational maps $\pi : (X, f) \to (Y, g)$. In fact, to define relative dynamical degrees, the first step is to reduce to the case π is regular. We can apply de Jong's alteration to the graph of π to have that π is regular, but in exchange we have to consider correspondences. As far as we know, relative dynamical degrees for correspondences have not been proposed in the current literature, even in the case $K = \mathbb{C}$. When K is not algebraically closed, we have to further work with correspondences over reducible varieties, even if the starting varieties are irreducible.

Now we are ready to state the main results of the paper. For simplicity, we will state here only the case when K is algebraically closed and X, Y are projective varieties. Extensions (e.g. X is not projective or K is not algebraically closed) will be discussed later.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X and Y be irreducible projective (not necessarily smooth) varieties, of corresponding dimensions k and l, over an algebraic closed field K. Let $\pi : (X, f) \to (Y, g)$ be a semi-conjugacy of dominant correspondences.*

Then, for any $0 \leq p \leq k - l$, there is a well-defined birational invariant number $\lambda_p(f|\pi)$, called the p-th relative dynamical degree. If $Y =$ a point, we denote these simply by $\lambda_p(f)$ $(p = 0, \ldots, k)$ and call them dynamical degrees. These relative dynamical degrees have the following properties:

1) **The regular case.** *Assume that X is smooth and π is regular. Let $\iota_X : X \to \mathbb{P}^N$ and $\iota_Y : Y \to \mathbb{P}^M$ be embeddings. Let $H_M \subset \mathbb{P}^M$ and $H_N \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be ample divisors, and define $\omega_X = \iota_X^*(H_N)$. Then for each $p = 0, \ldots, k - l$ the limit*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \deg((f^n)^*(\omega_X^*(H_N^p)).(\iota_Y \circ \pi)^*(H_N^l))^{1/n},$$

exists and is independent of the choices of ι_X, ι_Y and H_M, H_N . Here the degree of an algebraic cycle on X is computed in the embedding ι_X. We define $\lambda_p(f|\pi)$ to be this limit. We always have $\lambda_p(f|\pi) \geq 1$ for all $p = 0, \ldots, k - l$.

2) **Birational invariant.** *The relative dynamical degrees are birational invariants. More precisely, let be given two semi-conjugacies $\pi_1 : (X_1, f_1) \to (Y, g)$ and $\pi_2 : (X_2, f_2) \to (Y_2, g_2)$, together with two semi-conjugacies $\varphi : (X_2, f_2) \to (X_1, f_1)$ and $\psi : (Y_2, g_2) \to (Y_1, g_1)$ where the two composition maps $\psi \circ \pi_2$ and $\pi_1 \circ \varphi$ are the same. Assume that $\psi : Y_2 \to Y_1$ is a generically finite rational map, and moreover that φ is birational. Then $\lambda_p(f_1|\pi_1) = \lambda_p(f_2|\pi_2)$ for all $p = 0, \ldots, \dim(X_1) - \dim(Y_1)$.*

3) **Log-concavity.** *Assume that there are infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the correspondences f^n are irreducible, i.e. $f^n = d_n\Gamma_n$ where Γ_n is an irreducible varitety and $d_n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, the relative dynamical degrees are log-concave, that is $\lambda_p(f|\pi)^2 \geq \lambda_{p-1}(f|\pi).\lambda_{p+1}(f|\pi)$. In particular, if f is a dominant rational map, then its dynamical degrees are log-concave.*

4) **Product formula.** *Assume that Y is smooth, and $g = ag'$ where a is a positive integer and $g' : Y \to Y$ a dominant rational map. Then, for every $0 \leq p \leq k$ we have*

$$a\lambda_p(f) = \max_{0\leq j\leq l,\ 0\leq p-j\leq k-l} \lambda_j(g)\lambda_{p-j}(f|\pi),$$

or equivalently

$$\lambda_p(f) = \max_{0\leq j\leq l,\ 0\leq p-j\leq k-l} \lambda_j(g')\lambda_{p-j}(f|\pi).$$

In particular, if f is a dominant rational map (hence, so is g), then the product formula is satisfied with $a = 1$.

5) **Computing via numerical equivalent classes.** *Assume that X is smooth. Let $N^p(X)$ be the group of algebraic cycles of codimension p on X modulo numerical equivalence (see the next section for more details), and $N^p_{\mathbb{R}}(X) = N^p(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. Let $||.||$ be any norm on $N^p_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$, and $||(f^n)^*_p||$ the corresponding norm of the linear map $(f^n)^* : N^p_{\mathbb{R}}(X) \to N^p_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$. Then*

$$\lambda_p(f) = \lim_{n\to\infty} ||(f^n)^*_p||^{1/n}.$$

Remark. There are abundant of examples for which the theorem can be applied. There are also reducible correspondences f, for which the log-concavity fails for its dynamical degrees. See Remark 1.5 for more detail. Note that if $\pi : (X, f) \to (Y, g)$ is a semi-conjugacy, and f is a multiple of a rational map, then so is g. However, the reverse is false, that is there are semi-conjugacies where g is a multiple of a rational map but f is not, see Remark 1.5.

Under the assumptions of part 4) of Theorem 1.1, it can be easily checked that $\lambda_0(g) = a$, and hence the product formula can be written as follows

$$\lambda_0(g)\lambda_p(f) = \max_{0\leq j\leq l,\ 0\leq p-j\leq k-l} \lambda_j(g)\lambda_{p-j}(f|\pi),$$

for all $p = 0, \ldots, k$. A generalisation of the product formula, stated in terms of inequalities instead of equalities, holds for more general correspondences.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\pi : (X, f) \to (Y, g)$ be a semi-conjugacy between dominant correspondences. Assume that Y is smooth and there is an increasing sequence $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that g^{n_i} are irreducible. Then,*

$$\lambda_0(g)\lambda_p(f) \geq \max_{0\leq j\leq l,\ 0\leq p-j\leq k-l} \lambda_j(g)\lambda_{p-j}(f|\pi),$$

for all $p = 0, \ldots, \dim(X)$.

Moreover, if c is any positive number so that

$$c\lambda_p(f) \geq \max_{0\leq j\leq l,\ 0\leq p-j\leq k-l} \lambda_j(g)\lambda_{p-j}(f|\pi),$$

for all $p = 0, \ldots, \dim(X)$, then $c \geq \lambda_0(g)$.

Remark. From the proof of Theorem 1.2, it seems that the theorem does not hold for general semi-conjugacies (over irreducible varieties). At the moment, we cannot yet find any counter-example for correspondences over irreducible varieties. In the mean time, a counter-example is given in Remark 1.5 for a totally disjoint union of semi-conjugacies over reducible varieties.

The next result gives a stronger version of part 2) in Theorem 1.1 in which the assumption that π is birational is lessen to requiring only that it is generically finite. It says that we always have $\lambda_p(f_1|\pi_1) \geq \lambda_p(f_2|\pi_2)$, and under some additional conditions equality happens.

Theorem 1.3. *Let be given two semi-conjugacies $\pi_1 : (X_1, f_1) \to (Y_1, g_1)$ and $\pi_2 : (X_2, f_2) \to (Y_2, g_2)$, together with two semi-conjugacies $\varphi : (X_2, f_2) \to (X_1, f_1)$ and $\psi : (Y_2, g_2) \to (Y_1, g_1)$ where the two composition maps $\psi \circ \pi_2$ and $\pi_1 \circ \varphi$ are the same. Assume that $\varphi : X_2 \to X_1$ and $\psi : Y_2 \to Y_1$ are generically finite rational maps.*

1) Then we have $\lambda_p(f_1|\pi_1) \geq \lambda_p(f_2|\pi_2)$ for all $p = 0, \ldots, \dim(X_1) - \dim(Y_1)$.

2) Assume moreover that at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:

a) X_1 is smooth and π_1 is regular;

or

b) Resolution of singularities is available for varieties of dimension up to $\dim(X_1)$.

Then $\lambda_p(f_2|\pi_2) = \lambda_p(f_1|\pi_1)$ for all $p = 0, \ldots, \dim(X_1) - \dim(Y_1)$.

Relative dynamical degrees also satisfy a type of triangle inequality. This can be used to produce examples of primitive correspondences, discussed in more details in Section 6.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $f_1, f_2 : X \to X$ be two commutative correspondences (that is, $f_1 \circ f_2 = f_2 \circ f_1$), and $\pi : X \to Y$ a dominant rational map. Then $\lambda_p(f_1+f_2|\pi) \leq \lambda_p(f_1|\pi)+\lambda_p(f_2|\pi)$ for all p.*

We remark that the inequality is strict in general. See Remark 1.5 for more detail.

Main idea for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Given any correspondence $f : X \to X$ and a generically finite rational map $\varphi : Z \to X$, we can construct a pullback correspondence $\varphi^* f : Z \to Z$ so that $\varphi : (Z, \varphi^*(f)) \to (X, \deg(\varphi)f)$ (see Section 3 for more details).

By using some appropriate alterations $\tau_1 : X_1 \to X$, we can find a semi-conjugacy $\pi_1 : (X_1, \tau_1^* f) \to (Y, \deg(\tau_1)g)$, and moreover the map $\pi_1 : X_1 \to Y$ is regular. Using part 1) of Theorem 1.1, we then would like to define

$$\lambda_p(f|\pi) = \frac{1}{\deg(\tau_1)}\lambda_p(\tau_1^* f|\pi_1).$$

For this to be well-defined, we need to show that this quantity does not depend on the choice of the alterations. To this end, given any two pullback semi-conjugacies $\tau_1 : (X_1, \tau_1^* f) \to (X, \deg(\tau_1)f)$ and $\tau_2 : (X_2, \tau_2^* f) \to (X, \deg(\tau_2)f)$, we first construct an irreducible smooth projective variety X_3 together with generically finite regular morphisms $\varphi_1 : X_3 \to X_1$, $\varphi_2 : X_3 \to X_2$ and $\tau_3 : X_3 \to X$ such that $\varphi_1 \circ \tau_1 = \tau_3 = \varphi_2 \circ \tau_2$. (For example this can be done by choosing X_3 to be an alteration of a component of the pullback of the diagonal $\Delta_X \subset X \times X$ by the generically finite regular morphism $\tau_1 \times \tau_2 : X_1 \times X_2 \to X \times X$.) It follows from this that $\deg(\varphi_1)\deg(\tau_1) = \deg(\tau_3) = \deg(\varphi_2)\deg(\tau_2)$. Then by results in Section 3 of this paper, we have two semi-conjugacies $\varphi_1 : (X_3, \tau_3^* f) \to (X_1, \deg(\varphi_1)\tau_1^* f)$ and $\varphi_2 : (X_3, \tau_3^* f) \to (X_2, \deg(\varphi_2)\tau_2^* f)$. These semi-conjugacies allow us to relate both $\lambda_p(\tau_1^* f|\pi_1)$ and $\lambda_p(\tau_2^* f|\pi_2)$ to $\lambda_p(\tau_3^* f|\pi_3)$, via the help of part 1).

This proves the existence of relative dynamical degrees. Part 1) is proven in the course of this process. Part 2) is proven by observing that if $\varphi : (X_2, f_2) \to (X_1, f_1)$ is a semi-conjugacy, where φ is birational, then $f_2 = \varphi^* f_1$. For part 3), let n_i be a sequence of increasing integers so that f^{n_i} are irreducible. If X is smooth, then we can apply the Grothendieck-Hodge index theorem to an alteration of $\Gamma_{f^{n_i}}$, together with part 1) of Theorem 1.1 to complete the proof for part 3). When X is not smooth, we observe that if $\tau_1 : X_1 \to X$ is an alteration, then while $(\tau_1^*(f))^{n_i}$ may not be irreducible, the pullbacks by different irreducible components of it are related in such a way that the Grothendieck-Hodge index theorem again applies. Part 3) is optimal, in the sense that there are examples of reducible correspondences whose dynamical degrees do not satisfy the log-concavity, see Remark 1.5 below. Part 4), as in [9, 10], essentially follows from estimates on strict intersection and strict pullback by correspondences of algebraic cycles which will be given in Section 4. Note that there are complications when we consider general correspondences f and g, since the equality $f^*(\alpha \wedge \beta) = f^*(\alpha) \overset{o}{\wedge} f^*(\beta)$ for appropriate positive cycles, which were used in [9, 10] for rational maps f, simply do not hold for a general correspondence. We are able to prove a weaker version of this necessary intermediate result under the assumption that g is a multiple of a rational map. Part 5) is standard.

Remark 1.5. We now give some further remarks on Theorem 1.1.

1) In the case of complex projective manifolds, the dynamical degrees can be also computed using Dolbeault cohomology groups $H^{p,p}(X)$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 in that setting is given using properties of positive closed currents. For a smooth projective variety defined on an arbitrary algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, the analogue of $H^{p,p}(X)$ is the algebraic de Rham groups $H^p(X, \Omega^p_X)$. Since we lack the notions of positivity for the classes in $H^p(X, \Omega^p_X)$, it is not clear that dynamical degrees can also be computed using algebraic de Rham groups. The same question can be asked for other cohomology groups, for example l-adic cohomology groups. We note that for **automorphisms of surfaces** over an algebraic closed field of arbitrary characteristic, dynamical degrees can be computed by using the l-adic cohomology groups as proven in Esnault and Srinivas [16].

2) In the literature, the relative dynamical degrees for rational maps were given over fields where resolution of singularities exists ([9, 10, 35]) and the dynamical degrees for correspondences were given when $K = \mathbb{C}$ ([11]). With some efforts, results on fields of characteristic 0 may be reduced to the case where $K = \mathbb{C}$ via the Lefschetz principle. The pullback of an effective divisor is effective, hence the first dynamical degree $\lambda_1(f)$ of a dominant rational map $f : X \to X$ (see e.g. [4] where the case of surfaces was discussed) and by duality also the dynamical degree $\lambda_{k-1}(f)$ (here $k = \dim(X)$) are well-defined, over arbitrary characteristic.

3) The following features of our results are new:

- Correspondences over reducible varieties and their dynamical degrees, even when $K = \mathbb{C}$.

- Relative dynamical degrees for correspondences, even when $K = \mathbb{C}$ and the involved varieties are irreducible.

- Dynamical degrees for rational maps over a field of arbitrary characteristic.

- A completely different proof of geometric flavour - for the known results over $K = \mathbb{C}$ - whose estimates are given explicitly in terms of the dimensions of X, Y and the degrees of them in given embeddings $X, Y \subset \mathbb{P}^N$.

- Theorem 1.3 is stated for the first time, even for the case where $K = \mathbb{C}$ (in this case [9] observed it for the special case of dynamical degrees of meromorphic maps of compact Kähler manifolds).

- Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 are also stated for the first time, even when $K = \mathbb{C}$.

4) There are abundant of examples for which the above results can be applied. Let $\pi : X \to Y$ be a dominant rational map and $g : Y \to Y$ a dominant correspondence. Let $k = \dim(X)$, $l = \dim(Y)$, $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{P}^{k-l}$ a dominant rational map and $h : \mathbb{P}^{k-l} \to \mathbb{P}^{k-l}$ a dominant correspondence. Let $\tau : X \to \mathbb{P}^{k-l}$ be a dominant rational map, we can pullback - via the generically finite dominant rational map $(\pi, \tau) : X \to Y \times \mathbb{P}^{k-l}$ (see Section 3 for more details) - the correspondence $g \times h : Y \times \mathbb{P}^{k-l} \to Y \times \mathbb{P}^{k-l}$ to a correspondence $f : X \to X$, together with a semi-conjugacy $\pi : (X, f) \to (Y, a.\deg(\pi, \tau)g)$, where a is the cardinality of $h(z)$ for a generic point $z \in \mathbb{P}^{k-l}$.

5) The log-concavity is proven as soon as a version of Grothendieck-Hodge index theorem is available for the graphs of an infinite subset of $\{f^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, thanks to the existence of the limit in Part 1) of Theorem 1.1. Provided that f^n is irreducible, we can use Grothendieck-Hodge index theorem for an alteration of the graph of f^n. This is where the condition of the irreducibility of f^n comes in. The reason for the log-concavity to fail in the case f

is reducible lies in the fact that the Grothendieck-Hodge index theorem fails for reducible smooth varieties.

It is certainly true that the assumption of part 3 in Theorem 1.1 does not hold for a general correspondence. For example [11], if f is an irreducible correspondence which is symmetric with respect to the diagonal, then f^2 contains a multiple of the diagonal, hence is not irreducible. However, it is expected that the assumption holds in many cases of interest, other than the case where f is already a rational map. In fact, we expect that for a generic irreducible correspondence $f : X \to X$, all iterates f^n are irreducible.

The log-concavity actually fails for a general correspondence even when X is smooth. Here is one counter-example in the case where f is not irreducible. Let $g : X \to X$ be a dominant rational map such that there is a $p = 1, \ldots, \dim(X) - 1$ for which $\lambda_{p-1}(g) + \lambda_{p+1}(g) > 2\lambda_p(g)$. (For example, g is an endomorphism of degree ≥ 2 on $\mathbb{P}^k$.) Let $a \geq 1$ be an integer, and $f = g + a\Delta_X$, where Δ_X is the diagonal. It can be computed that $\lambda_q(f) = \lambda_q(g) + a$ for all $q = 0, \ldots, \dim(X)$. Then, from the assumptions on the dynamical degrees of g, it follows that for this f we have $\lambda_p(f)^2 < \lambda_{p-1}(f)\lambda_{p+1}(f)$ provided a is large enough.

6) Here we discuss the product formula (part 4) of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2) in case the correspondences g^n are always reducible. The proof of Theorem 1.2) seems to indicate that its result is false in this case, even if X and Y are irreducible varieties. While we cannot yet find a counter-example in this case, it is easy to construct one in the case the varieties X and Y are reducible. In fact, let $\pi_1 : (X_1, f_1) \to (Y_1, g_1)$ and $\pi_2 : (X_2, f_2) \to (Y_2, g_2)$ be semi-conjugacies of correspondences for which Theorem 1.2 holds, where X_1, X_2 are distinct irreducible varieties of dimension k and Y_1, Y_2 are distinct irreducible varieties of dimension l. Let $X = X_1 \cup X_2$, $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$ and $\pi : X \to Y$ is given by the pair π_1, π_2. Let $f : X \to X$ be the correspondence given by the pair f_1, f_2 and $g : Y \to Y$ the correspondence given by the pair g_1, g_2. Then it can be checked that $\pi \circ f^n = g^n \circ \pi$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by the definition in Section 6. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_p(f) &= \max\{\lambda_p(f_1), \lambda_p(f_2)\}, \\ \lambda_p(f|\pi) &= \max\{\lambda_p(f_1|\pi_1), \lambda_p(f_2|\pi_2)\}, \\ \lambda_p(g) &= \max\{\lambda_p(g_1), \lambda_p(g_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $X_1 = \mathbb{P}^3$ and $X_2 =$ blowup of $\mathbb{P}^3$ at one point, $Y_1 = \mathbb{P}^2$ and $Y_2 =$ blowup of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at one point. Let $\pi_1 : X_1 \to Y_1$ be the map $[x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3] \mapsto [x_0 : x_1 : x_2]$, and $\pi_2 : X_2 \to Y_2$ the lift of π_1. Let $f_1 : X_1 \to X_1$ be the reverse of, i.e. its graph is the image under the automorphism $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (z_2, z_1)$ on $X_1 \times X_1$ of that of, the map $[x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3] \mapsto [x_0^{d_1} : x_1^{d_1} : x_2^{d_1} : x_3^{d_1}]$, and g_1 is the reverse of the map $[x_0 : x_1 : x_2] \mapsto [x_0^{d_1} : x_1^{d_1} : x_2^{d_1}]$. It can be checked that $\pi_1 \circ f_1^n = g_1^n \circ \pi_1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_0(f_1) &= d_1^3,\ \lambda_1(f_1) = d_1^2, \\ \lambda_0(g_1) &= d_1^2,\ \lambda_1(g_1) = d_1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by working with appropriate dominant rational maps on $\mathbb{P}^2$ which have $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = d_2$, we can construct correspondences $f_2 : X_2 \to X_2$ and $g_2 : Y_2 \to Y_2$ so that

$\pi_2 \circ f_2^n = g_2^n \circ \pi_2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_0(f_2) &= d_2,\ \lambda_1(f_2) = d_2, \\ \lambda_0(g_2) &= d_2,\ \lambda_1(g_2) = d_2. \end{aligned}$$

If Theorem 1.2 is to hold for $\pi : (X, f) \to (Y, g)$, we would have in particular

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\lambda_0(g_1), \lambda_0(g_2)\} \max\{\lambda_1(f_1), \lambda_1(f_2)\} &\geq \max\{\lambda_1(g_1), \lambda_1(g_2)\} \max\{\lambda_0(f_1|\pi_1), \lambda_0(f_2|\pi_2)\} \\ &= \max\{\lambda_1(g_1), \lambda_1(g_2)\} \max\{\lambda_0(f_1), \lambda_0(f_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

(The equality in the above expression follows from the proof of Theorem 6.3.) We will however show that this is not the case. In fact, assume that it were. Then, we would have

$$\max\{d_1^2, d_2\} \max\{d_1^2, d_2\} \geq \max\{d_1, d_2\} \max\{d_1^3, d_2\}.$$

We note that in the above constructions, d_1, d_2 can be chosen to be any positive integer. If we choose them so that $d_1^3 > d_2 > d_1^2$, we see - as desired - that the above inequality cannot hold.

7) If $f : X \to X$ is any correspondence and Δ_X is the diagonal, then it can be checked that $\lambda_p(f + \Delta_X) = \lambda_p(f) + 1$ for all $p = 0, \ldots, \dim(X)$. Hence the inequality in Theorem 1.4 may be the equality in certain examples.

However, the inequality is strict in general. For example, let $f : X \to X$ be a birational map so that there exists one number p for which $\lambda_p(f) + \lambda_p(f^{-1}) > 2$. (There are many such maps, e.g. among pseudo-automorphisms in dimension 3. The latter are birational maps $f : X \to X$, where $\dim(X) = 3$, so that both f and f^{-1} have no exceptional divisors.) Then f and f^{-1} commute, and

$$\begin{aligned} (f + f^{-1})^n &= \sum_{i=0}^{n} C(n, i) f^i f^{-n+i} \\ &\leq C(n, [n/2])\Delta_X + \sum_{i<n/2} C(n, i)(f^{-1})^{n-2i} + \sum_{i>n/2} C(n, i) f^{2i-n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C(n, i)$'s are binomial coefficients and Δ_X the diagonal. By using part 1) of Theorem 1.1, it readily follows that

$$\lambda_p(f + f^{-1}) \leq \max\{2, \lambda_p(f) + \frac{1}{\lambda_p(f)}, \lambda_p(f^{-1}) + \frac{1}{\lambda_p(f^{-1})}\} < \lambda_p(f) + \lambda_p(f^{-1}).$$

8) The assumption that K is algebraically closed and X, Y are projective is essential in several parts in the proofs of our results. First, to be able to define strict transform of correspondences, we need to use that generic fibers of surjective regular morphisms are of correct dimensions (This replaces the Sard's theorem on singularities of regular maps, which holds only in characteristic zero.), see Section 3 for more details. This property is known only for algebraically closed fields and for quasi-projective varieties. Second, we need to use algebraic cycles and the groups $N^p(X)$, $N^p(Y)$ which have nice properties under the mentioned assumptions on K and X, Y.

However, assuming Y be projective, we can reduce the general case to the case where K is algebraically closed and X is projective. We will elaborate more on this later in the paper.

Organisation of the paper. The remaining of this paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we present some preliminaries on algebraic cycles, Roberts' version of Chow's moving lemma and Grothendieck-Hodge index theorem. In Section 3 we present some facts about correspondences, semi-conjugacies between them and pullbacks of certain diagrams of dominant correspondences. In Section 4, we give some estimates on strict pullbacks of algebraic cycles by correspondences and strict intersection of algebraic cycles. Section 5 is devoted to the proofs of main results. In Section 6, we will discuss the definition of (relative) dynamical degrees when the varieties involved may be reducible or not projective or when the field K is not algebraically closed, and give some applications to primitive correspondences and the simplicity of the first dynamical degree of a rational map. In particular, we will show that all correspondences of surfaces whose dynamical degrees violate the log-concavity (as constructed in Remark 1.5) are weakly primitive, and discuss a possible application of our results to the Hurwitz correspondences considered in [31]. We remark that a weakly primitive correspondence (as defined in Section 6) may still be imprimitive if primitivity is defined in the strongest sense, that is to have no semi-conjugacy to any correspondence $g : Y \to Y$ where $0 < \dim(Y) < \dim(X)$. See Example 2 in Section 6 for more detail.

Remark. After an earlier version of this paper was sent out, we were informed by Charles Favre that Nguyen-Bac Dang has been developing an alternative approach for relative dynamical degrees of rational maps on normal projective varieties.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Finnur Larusson for suggesting using de Jong's alteration, which is very crucial in the treatment of this paper. We thank Keiji Oguiso for checking thoroughly several earlier versions of this paper, his interest in the results of the paper and constant encouragement in the course of this work. We would also like to thank Tien-Cuong Dinh, Hélène Esnault, Charles Favre, Mattias Jonsson, Pierre Milman and Claire Voisin for their invaluable help.

2. Algebraic cycles

Throughout the section, we fix an algebraic closed field K of **arbitrary** characteristic. Recall that a projective manifold over K is a non-singular subvariety of a projective space $\mathbb{P}^N_K$. We will recall the definition and some results on algebraic cycles, the Roberts' version of Chow's moving lemma and the Grothendieck-Hodge index theorem. We then arrive at a useful result on the intersection of two cycles and define a norm $||.||_1$ which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2.1. **Algebraic cycles.** Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N_K$ be a projective manifold of dimension k over an algebraic closed field K of arbitrary characteristic. A q-cycle on X is a finite sum $\sum n_i[V_i]$, where V_i are q-dimensional irreducible subvarieties of X and n_i are integers. The group of q-cycles on X, denoted $Z_q(X)$, is the free abelian group on the p-dimensional subvarieties of X (see Section 1.3 in Fulton [19]). A q-cycle α is effective if it has the form

$$\alpha = \sum_i n_i[V_i],$$

where V_i are irreducible subvarieties of X and $n_i \geq 0$.

Let X and Y be projective manifolds, and let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism. For any irreducible subvariety V of X, we define the pushforward $f_*[V]$ as follows. Let $W = f(V)$. If $\dim(W) < \dim(V)$, then $f_*[V] = 0$. Otherwise, $f_*[V] = \deg(V/W)[W]$. This gives a pushforward map $f_* : Z_q(X) \to Z_q(Y)$ (see Section 1.4 in [19]).

We refer the readers to [19] for the definitions of rational and algebraic equivalences of algebraic cycles. Roughly speaking, two algebraic cycles are rationally equivalent if they are elements of a family of algebraic cycles parametrized by $\mathbb{P}^1$. Similarly, two algebraic cycles are algebraically equivalent if they are elements of a family of algebraic cycles parametrized by a smooth algebraic variety. The groups of q-cycles modulo rational and algebraic equivalences are denoted by $A_q(X)$ and $B_q(X)$.

We write $Z^p(X)$, $A^p(X)$ and $B^p(X)$ for the corresponding groups of cycles of codimension p. Since X is smooth, we have an intersection product $A^p(X) \times A^q(X) \to A^{p+q}(X)$, making $A^*(X)$ a ring, called the Chow's ring of X (see Sections 8.1 and 8.3 in [19]).

For a dimension 0 cycle $\gamma = \sum_i m_i[p_i]$ on X, we define its degree to be $\deg(\gamma) = \sum_i m_i$. We say that a cycle $\alpha \in A^p(X)$ is numerically equivalent to zero if and only if $\deg(\alpha.\beta) = 0$ for all $\beta \in A^{k-p}(X)$ (see Section 19.1 in [19]). The group of codimension p algebraic cycles modulo numerical equivalence is denoted by $N^p(X)$. These are finitely generated free abelian groups (see Example 19.1.4 in [19]). The first group $N^1(X)$ is a quotient of the Neron-Severi group $NS(X) = B^1(X)$. The latter is also finitely generated, as proven by Severi and Neron. We will use the vector spaces $N^p_{\mathbb{R}}(X) = N^p(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and $N^p_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = N^p(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ in defining dynamical degrees and in proving analogs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in [36].

Remark 2.1. We have the following inclusions: rational equivalence $\subset$ algebraic equivalence $\subset$ numerical equivalence.

2.2. **Roberts' version of Chow's moving lemma.** Let X be a projective manifold of dimension k over K. If V and W are two irreducible subvarieties of X, then either $V \cap W = \emptyset$ or any irreducible component of $V \cap W$ has dimension at least $\dim(V) + \dim(W) - k$. We say that V and W are properly intersected if any component of $V \cap W$ has dimension exactly $\dim(V) + \dim(W) - k$. When V and W intersect properly, the intersection $V.W$ is well-defined as an effective $\dim(V) + \dim(W) - k$ cycle.

Given $\alpha = \sum_i m_i[V_i] \in Z_q(X)$ and $\beta = \sum_j n_j[W_j] \in Z_{q'}(X)$, we say that $\alpha.\beta$ is well-defined if every component of $V_i \cap W_j$ has the correct dimension. The usual statement of Chow's moving lemma is that we can always find α' which is rationally equivalent to α so that $\alpha'.\beta$ is well-defined. Since in the sequel we will need to use some quantitative properties of such cycles α', we will follow the construction of such cycles α' by Roberts [32]. See also the paper [18] for a generalisation to moving families of cycles of bounded degrees.

Fixed an embedding $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N_K$, we choose a linear subspace $L \subset \mathbb{P}^N_K$ of dimension $N-k-1$ such that $L \cap X = \emptyset$. For any irreducible subvariety Z of X, it follows that $L \cap Z = \emptyset$ as well and we denote by $C_L(Z)$ the cone over Z with vertex L (see Example 6.17 in the book Harris [23]). For any such Z, $C_L(Z).X$ is well-defined and has the same dimension as Z, and moreover $C_L(Z).X - Z$ is effective (see Lemma 2 in [32]).

Let $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_m$ and Z be irreducible subvarieties of X, here $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$ do not need to be closed. We define the excess $e(Z)$ of Z relative to $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$ to be the maximum of

the integers

$$\dim(W) + k - \dim(Z) - \dim(Y_i),$$

where i runs from 1 to m, and W runs through all components of $Z \cap Y_i$, provided that one of these integers is non-negative. Otherwise, the excess is defined to be 0.

More generally, if $Z = \sum_i m_i[Z_i]$ is a cycle, where Z_i are irreducible subvarieties of X, we define $e(Z) = \max_i e(Z_i)$. We then also define the cone $C_L(Z) = \sum_i m_i C_L(Z_i)$.

The Main Lemma (page 93) in [32] says that for any cycle Z and any irreducible subvarieties $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$, then $e(C_L(Z).X - Z) \leq \max(e(Z) - 1, 0)$ for generic linear subspace $L \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ of dimension $N - k - 1$ such that $L \cap X = \emptyset$.

Now we can finish the proof of Chow's moving lemma as follows (see Theorem page 94 in [32]). Given $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$ and Z irreducible varieties on X. If $e = e(Z) = 0$ then Z intersect properly $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$, hence we are done. Otherwise, $e \geq 1$. Applying the main lemma, we can find linear subspaces $L_1, \ldots, L_e \subset \mathbb{P}^N_K$ of dimension $N - k - 1$, such that if $Z_0 = Z$ and $Z_i = C_{L_i}(Z_{i-1}).X - Z_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, e = e(Z)$, then $e(Z_i) \leq e - i$. In particular, $e(Z_e) = 0$. It is easy to see that

$$Z = Z_0 = (-1)^e Z_e + \sum_{i=1}^{e} (-1)^{i-1} C_{L_i}(Z_{i-1}).X.$$

It is known that there are points $g \in Aut(\mathbb{P}^N_K)$ such that $(gC_{L_i}(Z_{i-1})).X$ and $(gC_{L_i}(Z_{i-1})).Y_j$ are well-defined for $i = 1, \ldots, e$ and $j = 1, \ldots, m$. We can choose a rational curve in $Aut(\mathbb{P}^N_K)$ joining the identity map 1 and g, thus see that Z is rationally equivalent to

$$Z' = (-1)^e Z_e + \sum_{i=1}^{e} (-1)^{i-1} (gC_{L_i}(Z_{i-1})).X.$$

By construction, $e(Z') = 0$, as desired.

2.3. **Grothendieck-Hodge index theorem.** Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N_K$ be a projective manifold of dimension k. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^N_K$ be a hyperplane, and let $\omega_X = H|_X$. We recall that $N^p(X)$, the group of codimension p cycles modulo the numerical equivalence, is a finitely generated free abelian group. We define $N^p_{\mathbb{R}}(X) = N^p(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and $N^p_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = N^p(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$. These are real (and complex) vector spaces of real (and complex) dimension equal $rank(N^p(X))$. For $p = 1$, it is known that $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(N^1_{\mathbb{R}}(X)) = rank(NS(X)) =: \rho$, the rank of the Neron-Severi group of X (see Example 19.3.1 in [19]).

We define for $u, v \in N^1_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ the Hermitian form

$$\mathcal{H}(u, v) = \deg(u.\overline{v}.\omega_X^{k-2}).$$

Here the degree of a complex 0-cycle $\alpha + i\beta$ is defined to be the complex number $\deg(\alpha) + i\deg(\beta)$. The analogue of Hodge index theorem for complex projective manifolds is the Grothendieck-Hodge index theorem (see [22]), which says that $\mathcal{H}$ has signature $(1, \rho - 1)$.

2.4. **Some norms on the vector spaces $N^p_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ and $N^p_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$.** Given $\iota : X \subset \mathbb{P}^N_K$ a projective manifold of dimension k, let $H \in A^1(\mathbb{P}^N)$ be a hyperplane and $\omega_X = H|_X = \iota^*(H) \in A^1(X)$. For an irreducible subvariety $V \subset X$ of codimension p, we define the degree of V to be $\deg(V) =$ the degree of the dimension 0 cycle $V.\omega_X^{k-p}$, or equivalently $\deg(V) =$degree of the variety $\iota_*(V) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$. Similarly, we define for an effective codimension p cycle

$V = \sum_i m_i[V_i]$ (here $m_i \geq 0$ and V_i are irreducible), the degree $\deg(V) = \sum_i m_i \deg(V_i)$. This degree is extended to vectors in $N^p_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$. Note that the degree map is a numerical equivalent invariant.

As a consequence of Roberts' version of Chow's moving lemma, we have the following result on intersection of cycles.

Lemma 2.2. *Let V and W be irreducible subvarieties in X. Then the intersection $V.W \in A^*(X)$ can be represented as $V.W = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in A^*(X)$ are effective cycles and $\deg(\alpha_1), \deg(\alpha_2) \leq C \deg(V) \deg(W)$, where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of V and W.*

Proof. Using Roberts' version of Chow's moving lemma, W is rationally equivalent to

$$W' = \sum_{i=1}^{e} (-1)^{i-1} g C_{L_i}(W_{i-1}).X + (-1)^e W_e,$$

where $W_0 = W$, $W_i = C_{L_i}(W_{i-1}).X - W_{i-1}$, $C_{L_i}(W_{i-1}) \subset \mathbb{P}^N_K$ is a cone over W_{i-1}, and $g \in Aut(\mathbb{P}^N_K)$ is an automorphism. Moreover, $gC_{L_i}(W_{i-1}).X$, $gC_{L_i}(W_{i-1}).V$ and $W_e.V$ are all well-defined. We note that $e \leq k = \dim(X)$, and for any $i = 1, \ldots, e$

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(W_i) &\leq \deg(gC_{L_i}(W_{i-1}).X) \leq \deg(gC_{L_i}(W_{i-1})) \deg(X) \\ &= \deg(C_{L_i}(W_{i-1})). \deg(X) = \deg(W_{i-1}) \deg(X). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used that $\deg(C_{L_i}(W_{i-1}) = \deg(W_{i-1})$ (see Example 18.17 in [23]), and $\deg(gC_{L_i}(W_{i-1}) = \deg(C_{L_i}(W_{i-1})$ because g is an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^N$ (hence a linear map).

Therefore, the degrees of W_i are all $\leq (\deg(X))^k \deg(W)$. By definition, the intersection product $V.W \in A^*(X)$ is given by $V.W'$, which is well-defined. We now estimate the degrees of each effective cycle $gC_{L_i}(W_{i-1})|_X.V$ and $W_e.V$. Firstly, we have by the projection formula

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(gC_{L_i}(W_{i-1})|_X.V) &= \deg(\iota_*(gC_{L_i}(W_{i-1})|_X.V)) = \deg(gC_{L_i}(W_{i-1}).\iota_*(V)) \\ &= \deg(C_{L_i}(W_{i-1})). \deg(V) \leq \deg(X)^k \deg(W) \deg(V). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we estimate the degree of $W_e.V$. Since $W_e.V$ is well-defined, we can choose a linear subspace $L \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ so that $C_L(W_e).X$ and $C_L(W_e).V$ are well-defined. Recall that $C_L(W_e) - W_e$ is effective, we have

$$\deg(V.W_e) \leq \deg(V.C_L(W_e)|_X) = \deg(V). \deg(C_L(W_e)) \leq \deg(X)^k \deg(V) \deg(W).$$

From these estimates, we see that we can write

$$V.W' = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2,$$

where α_1, α_2 are effective cycles and $\deg(\alpha_1), \deg(\alpha_2) \leq C \deg(V) \deg(W)$, where $C = k. \deg(X)^k$ is independent of V and W. □

Using this degree map, we define for an arbitrary vector $v \in N^p_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$, the norm

$$\|v\|_1 = \inf\{\deg(v_1) + \deg(v_2) : \ v = v_1 - v_2, \ v_1, v_2 \in N^p_{\mathbb{R}}(X) \text{ are effective}\}. \tag{2.1}$$

That this is actually a norm follows easily from Lemma 2.2 and that the bilinear form $N^p(X) \times N^{k-p}(X) \to \mathbb{Z}$, $(v, w) \mapsto \deg(v.w)$ is non-degenerate. If $v \in N^p_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ is effective, then $\|v\|_1 = \deg(v)$. Since $N^p_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ is of finite dimensional, any norm on it is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_1$. We can also complexify these norms to define norms on $N^p_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$.

3. Correspondences

In this section, we present results on correspondences which are needed to prove Theorem 1.1. We will be mostly concerned with correspondences between quasi-projective varieties over an algebraically closed field K. These assumptions allow us to use the following result (Theorem 1.25, Section I.6.3 in [34]) on dimensions of fibers of surjective regular morphisms.

Theorem 3.1. *Let K be an algebraically closed field, X, Y irreducible quasi-projective varieties over K and $f : X \to Y$ a surjective regular morphism. Then, there exists a non-empty Zariski open subset $U \subset Y$ such that $\dim(f^{-1}(y)) = \dim(X) - \dim(Y)$ for all $y \in U$.*

In this paper we will need to consider various intersection of varieties, and the condition for these to be well-defined is that the set theoretical intersection has the correct dimension. Theorem 3.1 helps us to check that condition is satisfied over a non-empty Zariski open set. We do not need the stronger version of it, that is the Sard's theorem, which is available only in characteristic zero.

3.1. **Correspondences.** Let X and Y be quasi-projective varieties of pure dimensions k and l.

First, we assume that X, Y are irreducible. A correspondence $f : X \to Y$ is represented by its graph $\Gamma_f = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \Gamma_i$, viewed as an algebraic cycle, where each Γ_i is an irreducible subvariety of dimension k of $X \times Y$. We do not assume that the varieties Γ_i are distinct. We say that f is irreducible if $\Gamma_f = a\Gamma$ where $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and Γ is irreducible. A correspondence is dominant if for each i, the two natural projections from Γ_i to X, Y are dominant. For example, if $f : X \to Y$ is a dominant rational map then it is an irreducible dominant correspondence. If f is a correspondence whose graph is $\Gamma_f = \sum_i \Gamma_i$, we denote by af the correspondence whose graph is $\Gamma_{af} = \sum_i a\Gamma_i$.

A correspondence $f : X \to Y$ is kind of a multi-value map, which for each $x \in X$ gives $f(x) = \Gamma_{f,x}$ the projection to Y of points in Γ_f whose first coordinate is x. We need also take care of multiplicities: if several points in Γ_f (with the same first coordinate x) maps to the same point $y \in Y$, then in $f(x)$ we need to list y with the corresponding multiplicity.

Dominant correspondences can be composed, much as the compositions between dominant rational maps, and the resulting correspondence is also dominant. In fact, this can be done as follows. Let $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Y \to Z$ be two dominant correspondences. By Theorem 3.1, there are non-empty Zariski open subsets $U \subset X, U' \subset Y$ over which the fibers of the projections $\Gamma_f \to X$, $\Gamma_g \to Y$ have the correct dimension, and $f(U) \subset U'$. Then we define for $x \in U$: $g \circ f(x) = g(f(x))$. This is a correspondence from U to Z, taking the closure of the graph of this in $X \times Z$ we obtain the graph of the correspondence $g \circ f : X \to Z$.

Given two dominant correspondences $f : X \to X$ and $g : Y \to Y$, we say that a dominant rational map $\pi : X \to Y$ is a semi-conjugacy of f and g if $\pi \circ f = g \circ \pi$, and express this by writing $\pi : (X, f) \to (Y, g)$. By the definition of compositions of correspondences, we see that $\pi \circ f = g \circ \pi$ iff there is a non-empty Zariski open set $U \subset X$ such that $\pi \circ f(x) = g \circ \pi(x)$ for all $x \in U$. Since the composition of correspondences are associative, we obtain the following result.

Lemma 3.2. *If $(X, f) \to (Y, g)$ and $(Y, g) \to (Z, h)$ are semi-conjugate, then the induced $(X, f) \to (Z, h)$ is also semi-conjugate.*

In particular, if $\pi : (X, f) \to (Y, g)$ is a semi-conjugacy, then $\pi \circ f^n = g^n \circ \pi$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This fact, however, is not true in general if we consider correspondences over reducible varieties, see below.

The above definitions can be extended to the case where X and Y are reducible. In this case, let $X_1, \dots, X_m$ be components of X and $Y_1, \dots, Y_p$ components of Y. Then a correspondence between X and Y is a collection of correspondences $f_{i,j} : X_i \to Y_j$, $(i, j) \in I$ where $I \subset \{1, \dots, m\} \times \{1, \dots, p\}$. The correspondence is dominant iff each $f_{i,j}$ is dominant, the union of all the domains of the collection is dense in X, and the union of all the ranges of the collection is dense in Y. If $f : X \to X$ is a dominant correspondence, then we can iterate f in an obvious way. More precisely, if $f_{i,j}$ and $f_{k,l}$ are two irreducible component of f, we compose $f_{i,j} f_{k,l}$ whenever the range of $f_{k,l}$ is the domain of $f_{i,j}$, otherwise we do not compose them.

A semi-conjugacy between two dominant correspondences (X, f) and (Y, g) is then a dominant rational map $\pi : X \to Y$, which in this case means a collection of dominant rational maps $\pi_i : X_i \to Y_{a(i)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ such that $Y = \bigcup_i Y_{a(i)}$ (hence each X_i is in the domain of exactly one rational map π_i, while each Y_i may be in the range of different such maps), satisfying $\pi \circ f^n = g^n \circ \pi$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark. There is a subtlety in defining semi-conjugacy for correspondences on reducible varieties, as the readers may notice. In this general case, by the very definition of the composition of correspondences, if we have $\pi \circ f = g \circ \pi$, it is not assured that we also have $\pi \circ f^2 = g^2 \circ \pi$. For example, assume that $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ has two irreducible components, Y is irreducible, and π is a dominant rational map $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2) : (X_1, X_2) \to Y$. Assume that there are correspondences $f_{1,2} : X_1 \to X_2$, $f_{2,2} : X_2 \to X_2$ and $f_{2,1} : X_2 \to X_1$ each semi-conjugate to the same correspondence (Y, g). Then it can be checked that $f = 2f_{1,2} + f_{2,1} + f_{2,2} : X \to X$ is semi-conjugate to $2g$. Hence, $f^2 = 2f_{1,2} \circ f_{2,2} + 2f_{2,1} \circ f_{1,2} + f_{2,1} \circ f_{2,2}$ while $(2g)^2 = 4g^2$. From this, we can check that $\pi \circ f^2 \neq g^2 \circ \pi$.

To work with semi-conjugacies of correspondences over reducible varieties, it is convenient to consider semi-conjugacies of pairs. Let $f : X_1 \to X_2$ and $g : Y_1 \to Y_2$ be dominant correspondences between irreducible varieties, where $\dim(X_1) = \dim(X_2)$ and $\dim(Y_1) = \dim(Y_2)$. A semi-conjugacy between (X_1, X_2, f) and (Y_1, Y_2, g) is then a pair of dominant rational maps $\pi_1 : X_1 \to Y_1$ and $\pi_2 : X_2 \to Y_2$ such that $\pi_2 \circ f = g \circ \pi_1$. We describe this fact by writing $(\pi_1, \pi_2) : (X_1, X_2, f) \to (Y_1, Y_2, g)$.

The following result characterises semi-conjugate correspondences of pairs.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(\pi_1, \pi_2) : (X_1, X_2, f) \to (Y_1, Y_2, g)$ be a semi-conjugacy, where $\dim(X_1) = \dim(X_2)$ and $\dim(Y_1) = \dim(Y_2)$. Write $\Gamma_g = \sum_i a_i G_i$, where G_i are distinct irreducible varieties and $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ is the multiplicity of G_i. For a generic $x \in X$, the cardinality of $f(x) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(z)$ is a_i, for every $z \in g\pi_1(x)$ such that $(\pi_1(x), z) \in G_i$.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$ be generic. Define $y = \pi_1(x)$. Then by assumption, we have

$$\pi_2 f(x) = g\pi_1(x) = g(y).$$

If $(y,z) \in G_i$ then z appears in $g(y)$ with multiplicity a_i. From this, it follows that there are exactly a_i points (counted with multiplicities) in $f(x)$ which is mapped to z by the map π_2. In other words, $\sharp f(x) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(z) = a_i$. □

3.2. **Pullback of correspondences by rational maps.** In this section we define certain pullback operators of correspondences by dominant rational maps. Case 2 is not needed in the sequel, since in general the pullback correspondence is over a reducible variety, but we include it here since it seems interesting by itself.

Case 1: Equi-dimensional pullback.

Let $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2) : (X_1, X_2) \to (Y_1, Y_2)$ be a dominant rational map of pairs, and $g : Y_1 \to Y_2$ a dominant correspondence. Assume that $\dim(X_1) = \dim(X_2) = \dim(Y_1) = \dim(Y_2)$. The pullback of g by π, denoted by $f = \pi^* g : X_1 \to X_2$ is defined as follows: for $U \subset X_1$ a suitable non-empty Zariski open set, we define $f(x_1) = \pi_2^{-1} g \pi_1(x_1)$. The graph of f is then the strict pullback of the graph of g by the generically finite rational map $\pi_1 \times \pi_2 : X_1 \times X_2 \to Y_1 \times Y_2$. Since X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 are of the same dimension, the cardinality of $f(x_1)$ is finite.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $a =$ the degree of π_2, that is the cardinality (counted with multiplicities) of a generic fiber of π_2. We have $\pi_2 \circ f = a(g \circ \pi_1)$. In other words, we have a semi-conjugacy $\pi : (X_1, X_2, f = \pi^* g) \to (Y_1, Y_2, ag)$.*

Proof. For a generic point $x_1 \in X_1$, put $y_1 = \pi_1(x_1)$ we have by definition

$$\pi_2 \circ f(x_1) = \pi_2 \circ \pi_2^{-1} g(y_1) = a(g(y_1)) = ag \circ \pi_1(x_1),$$

as wanted. □

Case 2: Pullback of a triple of correspondences. This is more subtle than the pullback of a triple of maps.

Let be given two dominant semi-conjugacies $\pi : (X_1, X_2, f) \to (Y_1, Y_2, g)$ and $\psi : (W_1, W_2, h) \to (Y_1, Y_2, g)$, where $X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2, W_1, W_2$ are of pure dimensions, $\dim(X_1) = \dim(X_2)$, $\dim(Y_1) = \dim(Y_2)$ and $\dim(W_1) = \dim(W_2)$. We can pullback this triple to a dominant correspondence $u : Z_1 \to Z_2$ for which there are semi-conjugacies $(Z_1, Z_2, u) \to (X_1, X_2, af)$ and $(Z_1, Z_2, u) \to (W_1, W_2, ah)$ where a is a positive integer depending only on the correspondence $g : Y_1 \to Y_2$. Note that in general Z_1 and Z_2 may be reducible.

The following construction, which is done under the additional assumption that $X_1 = X_2 = X$, $Y_1 = Y_2 = Y$ and $W_1 = W_2 = W$, extends easily to the general case.

- Defining Z. On an appropriate non-empty Zariski open sets $U \subset X$ and $U' \subset W$, the (reduced) variety $\{(x,w) \in U \times U' : \ \pi(x) = \psi(w)\}$ is of pure dimension $\dim(X) + \dim(W) - \dim(Y)$. Let Z be the closure of this variety in $X \times W$. In other words, Z is the usual pullback of the triple $\pi : X \to Y$ and $\psi : W \to Y$. We note that in general Z is not irreducible.

We denote the natural projections from Z to X, Y by $\varphi : Z \to X$ and $\pi_1 : Z \to W$.

- Defining the correspondence $u : Z \to Z$.

Let Γ be the closure in $X \times W \times X \times W$ of the set

$$\{(x,w,x',w') : \ (x,x') \in V, (w,w') \in V', \ \pi(x) = \psi(w), \ \pi(x') = \psi(w')\},$$

where V is an appropriate Zariski open set of Γ_f and V' is an appropriate Zariski open set of Γ_h. We can choose for example $V = \{(x,x') \in \Gamma_f, x \in U\}$ for an appropriate non-empty

Zariski open set $U \subset X$, and similarly $V' = \{(w, w') \in \Gamma_h,\ w \in U'\}$ for some appropriate non-empty Zariski open set $U' \subset W$.

We have the following properties of Γ.

a) $\Gamma \subset Z \times Z$: This is clear from the definition.

b) Γ is non-empty and of pure dimension = $\dim(Z)$: Let $(x, w) \in U \times U'$, and $y = \pi(x) = \psi(w)$. Then, from

$$\pi f(x) = g(y) = \psi h(w),$$

thanks to the two semi-conjugacies $(X, f) \to (Y, g)$ and $(W, h) \to (Y, g)$, it follows that for any $x' \in f(x)$ there is $w' \in h(w)$ such that $\pi(x') = \psi(w')$, and vice versa. Thus Γ is non-empty. Moreover, since both $f(x)$ and $h(w)$ have bounded cardinalities, it follows that Γ has dimension = $\dim(Z)$.

Γ is the support for the graph Γ_u of the correspondence u which we sought to define. We will now need to choose appropriately the multiplicities of the irreducible components of Γ.

Let $\Gamma_g = \sum_{i \in I} a_i G_i$, where I is a finite set, Γ_i are distinct irreducible varieties and $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$. We define $a(g) := \prod_{i \in I} a_i$. Let V be an irreducible component of the (reduced) variety Γ. Let $\tau : Z \to Y$ be $\tau = \psi \circ \pi_1 = \pi \circ \varphi$. Then the (strict) image of V (see Section 4 for definition) under the rational map $\tau \times \tau : Z \times Z \to Y \times Y$ must lie in exactly one of the components G_i of Γ_g. Then, we define $b(V) := a_i$.

Now we define

$$\Gamma_u := \sum_V a(g)V/b(V),$$

where V runs all over the irreducible components of Γ. Since $a(g) = \prod_{i \in I} a_i$ and $b(V)$ is one of the a_i's, it follows that $a(g)/b(V)$ are integers for all V. From the properties of Γ, it follows immediately that Γ_u is the graph of a dominant correspondence $u : Z \to Z$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let G_i, a_i, $a(g)$ and $a(V)$ be as in the previous paragraph. We have $\varphi \circ u = a(g)(f \circ \varphi)$ and $\pi_1 \circ u = a(g)(h \circ \pi_1)$. In other words, we have two semi-conjugacies $\varphi : (Z, u) \to (X, a(g)f)$ and $\pi_1 : (Z, u) \to (W, a(g)h)$.*

Proof. Let $z = (x, w) \in Z \cap (U \times U')$, where $U \subset X$ and $U' \subset W$ are appropriate non-empty Zariski open sets. Define $y = \pi(x) = \psi(w)$. We have by definition

$$u(z) = \bigcup_{t \in g(y)} a(g)/a(t)(f(x) \cap \pi^{-1}(t)) \times (h(w) \cap \psi^{-1}(t)),$$

where $a(t) = a_i$ provided $(y, t) \in G_i$. By Lemma 3.3, we have $\sharp(f(x) \cap \pi^{-1}(t)) = a(t) = \sharp(h(w) \cap \psi^{-1}(t))$ for all $t \in g(y)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1 \circ u(z) &= \bigcup_{t \in g(y)} a(g)/a(t) \times \sharp(f(x) \cap \pi^{-1}(t)) \times (h(w) \cap \psi^{-1}(t)) \\ &= a(g) \bigcup_{t \in g(y)} (h(w) \cap \psi_1^{-1}(t)) \\ &= a(g)h(w) = a(g)h\pi_1(z). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that we have a semi-conjugacy $\pi_1 : (Z, u) \to (W, a(g)h)$. Similarly, we have a semi-conjugacy $\varphi : (Z, u) \to (X, a(g)f)$. □

4. Pullback by correspondences and strict intersections of varieties

In this section we consider pullback, strict transforms by correspondences and strict intersection of algebraic varieties. We assume throughout this section that the field K is algebraic closed of characteristic zero.

4.1. **Strict intersection of varieties.** In this subsection, we prove an estimate for strict intersection of algebraic cycles on a product space $X \times Y$. We first define the notion of strict intersection of two varieties. Let Z be an irreducible smooth projective variety and $V, W \subset Z$ be irreducible varieties. Let $U \subset Z$ be a non-empty Zariski open set on which the set theoretical intersection $V \cap W$ is of the correct dimension. Then $V|_U \wedge W|_U$ is well-defined as a variety, and we define $V \overset{U}{\wedge} W$ as the closure in Z of $V|_U \wedge W|_U$.

The main result of this subsection is the following.

Lemma 4.1. *Let X and Y be irreducible smooth projective varieties over K. Let ω_X and ω_Y be generic hyperplane sections on X and Y. There exists a constant $A > 0$ such that for any pair of irreducible subvarieties $V, W \subset X \times Y$ and an open subset $U \subset X \times Y$ over which the wedge intersection $V|_U \wedge W|_U$ is well defined, then in $N^*(X \times Y)$*

$$W \overset{U}{\wedge} V \leq (A \sum_{0 \leq j \leq p(W),\ 0 \leq p(W)-j \leq k-l} \alpha_j(W) \omega_X^j . \omega_Y^{p(W)-j}).[V]$$

Here $p(W)$ is the codimension of W and $\alpha_j(W) = W.\omega_X^{k-p(W)+j}.\omega_Y^{l-j}$.

Proof. We will follow the ideas in Section 2 in [10] plus that in [32]. Let us define $Z = X \times Y$. Let X, Y be embedded into the same projective space $\mathbb{P}^N$. Let $\Delta_Z \subset Z \times Z$ be the diagonal, and let $\pi_1, \pi_2 : Z \times Z \to Z$ be the projections. For simplicity, let us use ω_X and ω_Y to denote also the pullbacks, via the projections $Z = X \times Y \to X, Y$, of ω_X and ω_Y to Z. We embed $\mathbb{P}^N \times \mathbb{P}^N$ into some $\mathbb{P}^{N'}$, and hence have an embedding of $Z \times Z$ into $\mathbb{P}^{N'}$.

By assumption, the two varieties Δ_Z and $\pi_1^{-1}(V) \wedge \pi_2^{-1}(W)$ has well-defined wedge intersection on the Zariski open set $U_Z = \pi_1^{-1}(U) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(U) \subset Z \times Z$. Hence in terms of the notation in [32], the excess of Δ_Z with relative to $\pi_1^{-1}(V)|_U \wedge \pi_2^{-1}(W)|_U$ is 0.

Applying the Main Lemma in [32] (note that in there the varieties $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$ are not required to be closed), where V in that lemma is replaced by $Z \times Z$; Z in that lemma is replaced by Δ_Z; and the $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$ in that lemma are replaced by $\pi_1^{-1}(V)|_U \wedge \pi_2^{-1}(W)|_U$ (note that this variety is not closed in $Z \times Z$) in our situation, it follows that for a generic choice of a linear subspace $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{N'}$ of appropriate dimension, the cone $C_L(\Delta_Z) \subset \mathbb{P}^{N'}$ intersects properly $Z \times Z$, and $C_L(\Delta_Z)|_{Z \times Z}$ intersects properly $\pi_1^{-1}(V)|_U \wedge \pi_2^{-1}(W)|_U$.

Since Δ_Z is a component of $C_L(\Delta_Z) \cap (Z \times Z)$, it follows that

$$\Delta_Z \wedge \pi_1^{-1}(V)|_U \wedge \pi_2^{-1}(W)|_U \leq C_L(\Delta_Z)|_{Z \times Z} \wedge \pi_1^{-1}(V)|_U \wedge \pi_2^{-1}(W)|_U.$$

There is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-family $g(t)$ of automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^{N'}$ with $g(0) = Id$ such that $g(t)C_L(\Delta_Z)$ intersects $Z \times Z$ properly and $g(t)C_L(\Delta_Z)|_{Z \times Z}$ intersects $\pi_1^{-1}(V) \wedge \pi_2^{-1}(W)$ properly, for all t in a non-empty Zariski open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $\gamma(t)$ be the closure of the cycles $g(t)C_L(\Delta_Z)|_{Z \times Z} \wedge \pi_1^{-1}(V) \wedge \pi_2^{-1}(W)$ for $t \in \Omega$ inside $Z \times Z \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Then

$$\gamma(0) \geq C_L(\Delta_Z)|_{Z \times Z} \wedge \pi_1^{-1}(V)|_U \wedge \pi_2^{-1}(W)|_U \geq \Delta_Z \wedge \pi_1^{-1}(V)|_U \wedge \pi_2^{-1}(W)|_U,$$

and hence

$$(\pi_1)_*(\gamma(0)) \geq (\pi_1)_*(\pi_1^{-1}(V)|_U \wedge \pi_2^{-1}(W)|_U \wedge \Delta_Z) = V|_U \wedge W|_U.$$

Since $\gamma(0)$ is a closed subvariety, it then follows that $(\pi_1)_*(\gamma(0)) \geq V \overset{U}{\wedge} W$.

Hence, to finish the proof it is enough to bound the class of $(\pi_1)_*(\gamma(0))$ in $N^*(Z)$. The latter is the same as the class of $\gamma(t)$ for $t \in \Omega$. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{N'}$ be a hyperplane, then the class of $C_L(\Delta_Z)$ is AH^{k+l} for some positive integer $A > 0$. For $t \in \Omega$, since $g(t)$ is a linear map thus preserves degree, we have in $N^*(Z \times Z)$

$$\begin{aligned}
[\gamma(t)] &= [g(t)]C_L(\Delta_Z)]|_{Z\times Z}.[\pi_1^{-1}(V)].[\pi_2^{-1}(W)] \\
&= A[H^{k+l}]|_{Z\times Z}.[\pi_1^{-1}(V)].[\pi_2^{-1}(W)] \\
&= A.(\pi_1^{-1}\omega_X + \pi_1^{-1}(\omega_Y) + \pi_2^{-1}(\omega_X) + \pi_2^{-1}\omega_Y)^{k+l}.[\pi_2^{-1}(W)].[\pi_1^{-1}(V)].
\end{aligned}$$

The push forward by π_1 of the lass expression is bounded by

$$(A' \sum_{0\leq j\leq p(W),\ 0\leq p(W)-j\leq k-l} \alpha_j(W)\omega_X^j.\omega_Y^{p(W)-j}).[V],$$

where A' depends only on A and the binomial numbers $C(k+l,i)$ $(i = 0,\ldots,k+l)$, taking into account that $\dim(X) = k$ and $\dim(Y) = l$. Hence the lemma is proven.

□

4.2. **Pullback and strict transforms of algebraic cycles by correspondences.** Let X and Y be two irreducible projective manifolds and $f : X \to Y$ a dominant correspondence. Let Γ_f be the graph of f, and write $\Gamma_f = \sum_{i\in I} a_i G_i$ where I is a finite set, G_i are distinct irreducible varieties and $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then we can define the pushforward operators $f_* : A_q(X) \to A_q(Y)$ and pullback operators $f^* : A^p(Y) \to A^p(X)$ (see Chapter 16 in [19]). For example, there are two methods to define the pullback operators:

Method 1: Let $\pi_X, \pi_Y : X \times Y \to X, Y$ be the two projections, and let Γ_f be the graph of f. For $\alpha \in A^p(Y)$, we define $f^*(\alpha) \in A^p(X)$ by the following formula

$$f^*(\alpha) = (\pi_X)_*(\Gamma_f.\pi_Y^*(\alpha)) = \sum_{i\in I} a_i G_i^*(\alpha).$$

Method 2: Let $\tau_i : \Gamma_i \to G_i$ be an alteration of G_i, that is Γ_i is smooth and τ_i is generically finite of degree d_i. The results of de Jong assure the existence of alterations, and let $p_i, g_i : \Gamma_i \to X, Y$ be the induced morphisms. Then we define

$$f^*(\alpha) = \sum_{i\in I} a_i (p_i)_*(g_i^*(\alpha))/d_i.$$

For the convenience of the readers, we recall here an argument to show why these two methods give the same answer. It suffices to show for each i that

$$G_i^*(\alpha) = (p_i)_*(g_i^*(\alpha))/d_i.$$

In fact, since $p_i = \pi_X \circ \tau_i$ and $g_i = \pi_Y \circ \tau_i$ we have

$$(p_i)_* g_i^*(\alpha) = (\pi_X)_*(\tau_i)_*\tau_i^*\pi_Y^*(\alpha) = d_i(\pi_X)_*(\pi_Y^*(\alpha).G_i).$$

Here we use that by the projection formula: $(\tau_i)_*\tau_i^*(\beta) = d_i G_i.\beta$ for any algebraic cycle β on $X \times Y$.

In defining dynamical degrees and proving some of their basic properties, we need to estimate the degrees of the pullback and of strict transforms by a correspondence of a cycle. We present these estimates in the remaining of this subsection. We fix an alteration Γ_i of the component G_i of Γ_f, and let $p_i, g_i : \Gamma_i \to X, Y$ be the induced morphisms. By Theorem 3.1, the sets

$$V_l = \{y \in Y : \ \dim(g_i^{-1}(y)) \geq l\}$$

are algebraic varieties of Y. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_{g_i} = \cup_{l>\dim(X)-\dim(Y)} V_l$ the critical image of g_i. We have the first result considering the pullback of a subvariety of Y.

Lemma 4.2. *Let W be an irreducible subvariety of Y. If W intersects properly every irreducible component of V_l (for any $l > \dim(X) - \dim(Y)$), then $g_i^{-1}(W)$ is well-defined as a subvariety of Γ_i, and moreover the class $[g_i^{-1}(W)]$ represents the pullback $g_i^*(W)$ in $A^*(\Gamma_i)$.*

Proof. (See also Example 11.4.8 in [19].) By the intersection theory (see Section 8.2 in [19] and Theorem 3.4 in [18]), it suffices to show that $g_i^{-1}(W)$ has the correct dimension $\dim(X) - \dim(Y) + \dim(W)$. First, if $y \in W - \mathcal{C}_{g_i}$ then $\dim(g_i^{-1}(y)) = \dim(X) - \dim(Y)$ by definition of $\mathcal{C}_{g_i}$. Hence $\dim(g_i^{-1}(W - \mathcal{C}_{g_i}) = \dim(W) + \dim(X) - \dim(Y)$. It remains to show that $g_i^{-1}(W \cap \mathcal{C}_{g_i})$ has dimension $\leq \dim(X) + \dim(W) - \dim(Y) - 1$. Let Z be an irreducible component of $W \cap \mathcal{C}_{g_i}$. We define $l = \inf\{\dim(g_i^{-1}(y)) : \ y \in Z\}$. Then $l > \dim(X) - \dim(Y)$ and for generic $y \in Z$ we have $\dim(g^{-1}(y)) = l$ by Theorem 3.1. Let $V \subset V_l$ be an irreducible component containing Z. By assumption $V \cap W$ has dimension $\dim(V) + \dim(W) - \dim(Y)$, hence $\dim(Z) \leq \dim(V) + \dim(W) - \dim(Y)$. We obtain

$$\dim(g_i^{-1}(Z - V_{l+1})) = l + \dim(Z) \leq l + \dim(V) + \dim(W) - \dim(Y).$$

Since g_i is surjective (because f is dominant) and $V \neq Y$, it follows that

$$\dim(X) - 1 \geq \dim(g_i^{-1}(V)) \geq \dim(V) + l.$$

From these last two estimates we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(g_i^{-1}(Z - V_{l+1})) &= l + \dim(V) + \dim(W) - \dim(Y) \\ &\leq \dim(X) - 1 + \dim(W) - \dim(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Since there are only a finite number of such components, it follows that $\dim(g_i^{-1}(W \cap \mathcal{C}_{g_i})) \leq \dim(W) + \dim(X) - \dim(Y) - 1$, as claimed. □

We next estimate the degree of the pullback of a cycle. Fix an embedding $Y \subset \mathbb{P}_K^N$, and let $\iota : Y \subset \mathbb{P}_K^N$ the inclusion. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}_K^N$ be a generic hyperplane and let $\omega_Y = H|_Y$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a correspondence.*

a) Let $p = 0, \ldots, \dim(Y)$, and $Z \subset X$ a proper subvariety. Then there is a linear subspace $H^p \subset \mathbb{P}_K^N$ of codimension p such that H^p intersects Y properly, $f^*(\iota^*(H^p))$ is well-defined as a subvariety of X, and $f^*(\iota^*(H^p))$ has no component on Z. In particular, for any non-negative integer p, the pullback $f^*(\omega_Y^p) \in A^p(X)$ is effective.

b) Let W be an irreducible of codimension p in Y. Then in $A^p(X)$, we can represent $f^*(W)$ by $\beta_1 - \beta_2$, where β_1 and β_2 are effective and $\beta_1, \beta_2 \leq C \deg(W) f^*(\omega_Y^p)$ for some constant $C > 0$ independent of the variety W, the manifold X and the correspondence f.

Proof. We may assume that Γ_f is irreducible. Let $\Gamma \to \Gamma_f$ be an alteration, with the induced morphisms $p : \Gamma \to X$ and $g : \Gamma \to Y$. Since by definition $f^*(W) = p_* g^*(W)$ and since p_* preserves effective classes, it suffices to prove the lemma for the morphism g. We let the varieties V_l as those defined before Lemma 4.2.

a) Let $H^p \subset \mathbb{P}^N_K$ be a generic codimension p linear subspace. Then in $A^p(Y)$, ω_Y^p is represented by $\iota^*(H^p)$. We can choose such an H^p so that H^p intersects properly Y, $g(Z)$ and all irreducible components of V_l and $g(Z) \cap V_l$ for all $l > \dim(X) - \dim(Y)$. By Lemma 4.2, the pullback $g^*(\iota^*(H^p)) = g^{-1}(\iota^*(H^p))$ is well-defined as a subvariety of Γ. Moreover, the dimension of $g^{-1}(\iota^*(H^p)) \cap Z$ is less than the dimension of $g^{-1}(\iota^*(H^p))$. In particular, $g^*(\iota^*(H^p))$ is effective and has no component on Z.

b) By Roberts' version of Chow's moving lemma, W is rationally equivalent to $\iota^*(\alpha_1) - \iota^*(\alpha_2) \pm W_e$, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^N_K$ and $W_e \subset Y$ are subvarieties of codimension p, and they intersect properly Y and all irreducible components of V_l for all $l > \dim(X) - \dim(Y)$. Moreover, $\deg(\alpha_1), \deg(\alpha_2), \deg(W_e) \leq C \deg(W)$, for some $C > 0$ independent of W. Moreover, we can find a codimension p variety $\alpha \subset \mathbb{P}^N_K$ so that α intersects properly with Y and all V_l, $\iota^*(\alpha) - W_e$ is effective, and $\deg(\alpha) \leq C \deg(W_e)$. Note that in $A^p(Y)$ we have $\iota^*(\alpha_1) \sim \deg(\alpha_1)\omega_Y^p$, $\iota^*(\alpha_2) \sim \deg(\alpha_2)\omega_Y^p$ and $\iota^*(\alpha) \sim \deg(\alpha)\omega_Y^p$. Note also that $0 \leq g^*(W_e) \leq g^*(\iota^*(\alpha))$. Therefore, in $A^p(\Gamma)$

$$g^*(W) \sim \deg(\alpha_1) g^*(\omega_Y^p) - \deg(\alpha_2) g^*(\omega_Y^p) \pm g^*(W_e),$$

where each of the three terms on the RHS is effective and $\leq C \deg(W) g^*(\omega_Y^p)$ for some $C > 0$ independent of W, X and f. □

Finally, we estimate the degree of a strict transform of a cycle by a correspondence. Again, it is sufficient to consider the case Γ_f is irreducible. Let $\Gamma \to \Gamma_f$ be an alteration with the induced morphisms $p : \Gamma \to X$ and $g : \Gamma \to Y$. Define

$$g_0 = g|_{\Gamma - g^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_g)} : \Gamma - g^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_g) \to Y - \mathcal{C}_g.$$

Then g_0 is a proper morphism, and for any $y \in Y - \mathcal{C}_g$, $g_0^{-1}(y)$ has the correct dimension $\dim(X) - \dim(Y)$. Let $W \subset Y$ be a codimension p subvariety. The inverse image $g_0^{-1}(W) = g^{-1}(W) \cap (\Gamma - g^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_g)) \subset \Gamma - g^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_g)$ is a closed subvariety of codimension p of $\Gamma - g^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_g)$, hence its closure $cl(g_0^{-1}(W)) \subset \Gamma$ is a subvariety of codimension p, and we define $f^o(W) = p_* cl(g_0^{-1}(W))$. Note that a strict transform depends on the choice of the alteration Γ of the graph Γ_f. (We can also define a strict transform more intrinsically using the graph Γ_f directly, as in [14].)

Lemma 4.4. *Let $W \subset Y$ be a codimension p subvariety. Then $f^o(W)$ is an effective cycle, and in $A^p(X)$*

$$f^o(W) \leq C \deg(W) f^*(\omega_Y^p),$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of the the variety W, the manifold X and the correspondence f.

Proof. Recall again that here we can assume that Γ_f is irreducible. That $f^0(W)$ is an effective cycle follows from the definition. It suffices to prove the lemma for the morphism $g : \Gamma \to Y$. By Roberts' version of Chow's moving lemma, we can decompose W as follows

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^{e} (-1)^{i-1} \iota^*(C_{L_i}(W_{i-1})) + (-1)^e W_e,$$

where the variety W_e intersects properly all irreducible components of V_l for all $l > \dim(X) - \dim(Y)$, and $C_i(W_{i-1}) \subset \mathbb{P}^N_K$ are subvarieties of codimension p intersecting Y properly (but may not intersect properly the irreducible components of V_l). Moreover, we have the following bound on the degrees

$$\deg(W_e), \deg(C_{L_i}(W_{i-1})) \leq C \deg(W), \tag{4.1}$$

for all i, where $C > 0$ is independent of W, X and f.

By the definition of g^0 we have

$$g^o(W) = \sum_{i=1}^{e} (-1)^{i-1} g^o(\iota^*(C_{L_i}(W_{i-1}))) + (-1)^e g^o(W_e). \tag{4.2}$$

Note that $e \leq \dim(Y)$. We now estimate each term on the RHS of (4.2). Let $S \subset \mathbb{P}^N_K$ be a subvariety of codimension p intersecting Y properly (but may not intersecting properly the components of V_l). We first show that for any such S

$$g^o(\iota^*(S)) \leq \deg(S) g^*(\omega_Y^p), \tag{4.3}$$

in $A^p(\Gamma)$.

We can find a curve of automorphisms $\tau(t) \in Aut(\mathbb{P}^N_K)$ for $t \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$ such that for a dense Zariski open dense subset $U \subset \mathbb{P}^1$, $\tau(t)S$ intersects properly Y and all the irreducible components of V_l (for $l > \dim(X) - \dim(Y)$) for all $t \in U$. Let $\mathcal{S} \subset Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be the corresponding variety, hence for $t \in U \subset \mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathcal{S}_t = \iota^*(\tau(t)S) \subset Y$. Since S intersects Y properly, we have $\mathcal{S}_0 = \iota^*(S)$. By the choice of $\mathcal{S}$, for any $t \in U$ the pullback $g^*(\mathcal{S}_t)$ is well-defined as a subvariety of Γ.

We consider the induced map $G : \Gamma \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ given by the formula

$$G(z, t) = (g(z), t).$$

We define by G_0 the restriction map $G_0 : \Gamma \times U \to Y \times U$. By the choice of the variety $\mathcal{S}$, the inverse image

$$G_0^{-1}(\mathcal{S}) = G^{-1}(\mathcal{S}) \cap (\Gamma \times U) \subset \Gamma \times U$$

is a closed subvariety of codimension p, hence its closure $G^o(\mathcal{S}) \subset \Gamma \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is a subvariety of codimension p. Moreover, for all $t \in U$ we have

$$G^o(\mathcal{S})_t = g^*(\mathcal{S}_t).$$

Since the map $g_0 : \Gamma - g^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_g) \to Y - \mathcal{C}_g$ has all fibers of the correct dimension $\dim(X) - \dim(Y)$, it follows that

$$G^o(\mathcal{S})_0 \cap (\Gamma - g^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_g)) = g_0^{-1}(\iota^*(S)).$$

In fact, let G_1 be the restriction of G to $(\Gamma - \mathcal{C}_g) \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Then

$$G_1^{-1}(\mathcal{S}) = G^{-1}(\mathcal{S}) \cap [(\Gamma - \mathcal{C}_g) \times \mathbb{P}^1] \subset (\Gamma - \mathcal{C}_g) \times \mathbb{P}^1$$

is a closed subvariety of codimension p. Hence its closure, denoted by $\widetilde{G}^o(\mathcal{S}) \subset \Gamma \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is a subvariety of codimension p. For $t \in U$, we have $\widetilde{G}^o(\mathcal{S})_t = g^*(\mathcal{S}_t) = G^o(\mathcal{S}_t)$, because on the one hand $\widetilde{G}^o(\mathcal{S})_t \subset G^{-1}(\mathcal{S})_t = g^*(\mathcal{S}_t)$, and on the other hand $g^*(\mathcal{S}_t)$ has no component on $g^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_g)$ and $\widetilde{G}^o(\mathcal{S})_t \cap (\Gamma - g^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_g)) = g_0^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_t)$. Therefore $\widetilde{G}^o(\mathcal{S}) = G^o(\mathcal{S})$ as varieties on $\Gamma \times \mathbb{P}^1$. In particular

$$G^o(\mathcal{S})_0 \cap (\Gamma - g^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_g)) = \widetilde{G}^o(\mathcal{S})_0 \cap (\Gamma - g^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_g)) = g_0^{-1}(\iota^*(S)),$$

as claimed.

Hence

$$g^o(\iota^*(S)) \leq G^o(\mathcal{S})_0$$

as varieties on Γ. Since $G^o(\mathcal{S})_0$ is rationally equivalent to $G^o(\mathcal{S})_t$ for any t in U, it follows that for all such t we have

$$g^o(\iota^*(S)) \leq G^o(\mathcal{S})_t = g^*(\mathcal{S}_t) = \deg(S) g^*(\omega_Y^p),$$

in $A^p(\Gamma)$. Hence (4.3) is proven.

Now we continue the proof of the lemma. By (4.3) and the bound on degrees (4.1), for all $i = 1, \ldots, e$

$$g^o(\iota^*(C_{L_i}(W_{i-1}))) \leq C \deg(W) g^*(\omega_Y^p),$$

in $A^p(\Gamma)$ where $C > 0$ is independent of W, X and f.

It remains to estimate $g^o(W_e)$. By the choice of W_e, the pullback $g^*(W_e)$ is well-defined as a subvariety of Γ, hence by b) of Lemma 4.3 and the bound on degrees (4.1) we have

$$g^o(W_e) \leq g^*(W_e) \leq C \deg(W) g^*(\omega_Y^p),$$

in $A^p(\Gamma)$, where $C > 0$ is independent of W, X and f. Thus the proof of the lemma is completed. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let X, Y, Z be irreducible projective manifolds, and let $f : Y \to X$, $g : Z \to Y$ be dominant correspondences. We fix an embedding $Y \subset \mathbb{P}_K^M$ and let ω_Y be the pullback to Y of a generic hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}_K^M$. Then in $A^p(Z)$*

$$(f \circ g)^*(\omega_X^p) \leq C \deg(f^*(\omega_X^p)) g^*(\omega_Y^p),$$

where $C > 0$ is independent of f and g.

Proof. We can find proper subvarieties $V_X \subset X, V_Y \subset Y$ and $V_Z \subset Z$ so that the correspondences $f_0 : Y - V_Y \to X - V_X$ and $g_0 : Z - V_Z \to Y - V_Y$ have all fibers of the correct dimensions, by using Theorem 3.1. Then it follows that the composition $Z - V_Z \to X - V_X$ has all fibers of the correct dimension.

Define by $(f \circ g)_0$ the restriction of $f \circ g$ to $Z - V_Z$. Then $(f \circ g)_0 = f_0 \circ g_0 : Z - V_Z \to X - V_X$ and has all fibers of the correct dimension. We define the strict transforms f^0, g^0 and $(f \circ g)^0$ using these restriction correspondences f_0, g_0 and $(f \circ g)_0$.

By Lemma 4.3 a), we can find a linear subspace $H^p \subset \mathbb{P}_K^N$ so that H^p intersects X properly, $(f \circ g)^*(\iota^*(H^p))$ is well-defined as a variety and has no component on V_Z, and $f^*(\iota^*(H^p))$ is well-defined as a variety. Then

$$(f \circ g)^*(\iota^*(H^p)) = (f \circ g)^o(\iota^*(H^p))$$

is the closure of

$$(f\circ g)_0^{-1}(\iota^*(H^p))=(f_0\circ g_0)^{-1}(\iota^*(H^p))=(g_0)^{-1}f_0^{-1}(\iota^*(H^p)).$$

Therefore

$$(f\circ g)^*(\iota^*(H^p))=g^of^o(\iota^*(H^p))\leq g^of^*(\iota^*(H^p)).$$

as subvarieties of Z. By Lemma 4.4, we have the desired result. □

5. Proofs of the main results

In this section we prove Theorems 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4. The proof of Theorem 1.1 occurs in two first subsections, and we will proceed as follows.

- First, we will prove part 1) of Theorem 1.1, that is to define relative dynamical degrees in the regular case.

- Then we will use de Jong's alterations and part 1) to define relative dynamical degrees for the general case, and prove other parts of Theorem 1.1.

From now on, we assume that both X and Y are embedded in the same projective space $\mathbb{P}^N$. Let $H_N\subset\mathbb{P}^N$ be a generic hyperplane. We denote by ω_X the restriction of H_N to X and ω_Y the restriction of H_N to Y.

5.1. **The case X is smooth and π is a regular morphism.** Because Y has dimension l, a generic complete intersection of hyperplane sections ω_Y^l is a union of $\deg(Y)$ points. The preimages $\pi^{-1}(\omega_Y^l)\subset X$ will play an important role in the definition of relative dynamical degrees. The advantage of the choice $\pi^{-1}(\omega_Y^l)$ lies in that these are rationally equivalent and that $\pi^{-1}(\omega_Y)=(\pi\circ\iota_Y)^*(H_N)$ is a nef class, since $\iota_Y\circ\pi: X\to\mathbb{P}^N$ is a regular morphism.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\omega_{X,1}^p$ and $\omega_{X,2}^p$ be two generic complete intersections of hyperplane sections of X, and $\omega_{Y,1}^l$ and $\omega_{Y,2}^l$ two generic complete intersections of hyperplane sections of Y. Then the intersections $f^*(\omega_{X,1}^p)\cap\pi^{-1}(\omega_{Y,1}^l)$ and $f^*(\omega_{X,2}^p)\cap\pi^{-1}(\omega_{Y,2}^l)$ are well-defined and have the same class in $N^*(X)$.*

Proof. Let $\omega_{X,1}^p$ be a fixed complete intersection of hyperplanes sections of X so that $f^{-1}(\omega_{X,1}^p)$ has the correct dimension. This is possible by Lemma 4.3.

Let $Z\subset X$ be any proper subvariety of dimension $<\dim(X)$. We show that for a generic choice of ω_Y then $\pi^{-1}(\omega_Y)$ intersects Z properly. Otherwise, since $\pi^{-1}(\omega_Y)$ is a divisor on X and since Z has only a finite number of irreducible components, there will be an irreducible component of Z belonging to all $\pi^{-1}(\omega_Y)$ - when we vary ω_Y - which is impossible. (Here the assumption that π is regular is used, in that if A,B are two subsets of Y then $\pi^{-1}(A)\cap\pi^{-1}(B)=\pi^{-1}(A\cap B)$.) Using this, starting from $Z_0=f^{-1}(\omega_{X,1}^p)$, there is a generic $\omega_{Y,1}$ such that the wedge intersection $Z_1=Z_0\wedge\pi^{-1}(\omega_{Y,1})$ is well-defined. Keeping doing this l times we obtain that for a generic choice of hyperplane sections $\omega_{Y,1}^l$, the wedge intersection $f^{-1}(\omega_{X,1}^p)\wedge\pi^{-1}(\omega_{Y,1}^l)$ is well-defined. The class in $N^*(X)$ is then the product of the two classes $[f^{-1}(\omega_{X,1}^p)]$ and $[\pi^{-1}(\omega_{Y,1}^l)]$, and hence is independent of the choice of $\omega_{X,1}^p$ and $\omega_{Y,1}^l$. □

By Lemma 5.1, the number

$$\deg_p(f|\pi) := \deg(f^*(\omega_X^p) \cap \pi^{-1}(\omega_Y^l))$$

is well-defined and is independent of the choices of generic complete intersections of hyperplane sections ω_X^p and ω_Y^l.

Our next objective is to show that the following limit exists

$$\lambda_p(f|\pi) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \deg_p(f^n|\pi)^{1/n}.$$

These limits are the relative dynamical degrees we seek to define. To prove the existence of these limits, we will make use of another quantity associated to f.

Notation. Let $\mathcal{B}_f \subset X$ be a proper subvariety, called a "bad" set for f, outside it the map f has good properties needed for our purpose. For example, $\mathcal{B}_f$ may contain the critical and indeterminate set of f, but it may also contains the critical and indeterminate sets of some iterates of f and the pre images of some "bad" set $\mathcal{B}_g \subset Y$. These "bad" sets are chosen specifically for each of the results proven below, so that the behaviour of certain iterates of f is nice outside $\mathcal{B}_f$. For example, in the proof of Theorem 5.3 below, we choose $\mathcal{B}_f$ in such a way that the strict transforms $(f^m)_0$, $(f^n)_0$ and f_0^{m+n} are well-defined and coincide outside $\mathcal{B}_f$. Since it will be quite cumbersome to list $\mathcal{B}_f$ in each case, we will not do so in the sequel.

Let V be a subvariety of X, each of whose irreducible components does not belong to the "bad" set $\mathcal{B}_f$. Then from the proof of Lemma 5.1, the strict pushforward $f_0(\omega_X^j \cap (V - \mathcal{B}_f))$ is well-defined for a generic complete intersection of hyperplane sections ω_X^j. Moreover, the class in $N^*(X)$ of the closure of $f_0(\omega_X^j \cap (V - \mathcal{B}_f))$ is independent of the choice of $\mathcal{B}_f$ and such a generic complete intersection of hyperplane sections ω_X^j. We choose, in particular $V = \pi^{-1}(\omega_Y^l)$ where ω_Y^l is a generic complete intersection of hyperplane sections of Y. Then the class in $N^*(X)$ of the closure of $f_0(\omega_X^j \cap (\pi^{-1}(\omega_Y^l) - \mathcal{B}_f))$ is independent of the choice of such $\mathcal{B}_f$, ω_X^j and ω_Y^l. For $j = k - l - p$, we denote the degree of the closure of $f_0(\omega_X^j \cap (\pi^{-1}(\omega_Y^l) - \mathcal{B}_f))$ by $\deg'_p(f|\pi)$.

Lemma 5.2. *For all $0 \leq p \leq k - l$, we have:* $\deg_p(f|\pi) = \deg'_p(f|\pi)$.

Proof. We choose a generic complete intersection of hyperplane sections ω_X^{k-l-p} of X. By definition

$$\deg_p(f|\pi) = \omega_X^{k-l-p}.Z,$$

where Z is the closure of $f^*(\omega_X^p).(\pi^{-1}(\omega_Y^l) - \mathcal{B}_f)$. Since ω_X^{k-l-p} is a generic complete intersection of hyperplane sections, we have $\omega_X^{k-l-p} \cap Z' = \emptyset$, where $Z' = Z - f^*(\omega_X^p).(\pi^{-1}(\omega_Y^l) - \mathcal{B}_f)$. Moreover, we can choose so that $\omega_X^{k-l-p}.f^*(\omega_X^p).(\pi^{-1}(\omega_Y^l) - \mathcal{B}_f)$, which is a 0-cycle, does not intersect any priori given subvariety of X.

Let Γ_f be the graph of f, and let π_1, π_2 be the two projections $X \times X \to X$. We consider the following 0-cycle on $X \times X$: $\pi_1^*(\omega_X^{k-l-p}.(\pi^{-1}(\omega_Y^l) - \mathcal{B}_f)).\pi_2^*(\omega_X^p).\Gamma_f$. Denote by α this zero cycle. The degree of $(\pi_1)_*(\alpha)$ is $\deg_p(f|\pi)$ and the degree of $(\pi_2)_*(\alpha)$ is $\deg'_p(f|\pi)$. Then, the lemma follows from the fact that the degrees of the push forwards by π_1 and π_2 of a 0-cycle on $X \times X$ are the same. □

Now we define relative dynamical degrees. By the use of Theorem 3.1, we note that the Sard-Bertini's type theorem for characteristic zero is not needed in the algebraic case (compare with the proof of Proposition 3.3. in [9]).

Theorem 5.3. *For any $0 \leq p \leq k-l$, the following limit exists*

$$\lambda_p(f|\pi) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \deg'_p(f^n|\pi)^{1/n} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \deg_p(f^n|\pi)^{1/n}.$$

We call $\lambda_p(f|\pi)$ the p-th relative dynamical degree of f with respect to π.

Proof. By Lemma 5.2, we only need to show that the first limit exists. To this end, it suffices to show that there is a constant $C > 0$ independent of m, n and f such that

$$\deg'_p(f^{n+m}|\pi) \leq C \deg'_p(f^n|\pi).\deg'_p(f^m|\pi).$$

We define $R =$ the closure of $f_0^n(\omega_X^{k-l-p} \wedge (\pi^{-1}(\omega_Y^l) - \mathcal{B}))$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is a proper subvariety of X, depending on f^n, f^m and f^{n+m}, so that the strict transform $f_0^m(R)$ is well-defined and equals the closure of $f_0^{n+m}(\omega_X^{k-l-p} \wedge (\pi^{-1}(\omega_Y^l) - \mathcal{B}))$. By choosing ω_X^{k-l-p} and ω_Y^l generically, we can assume that R is a subvariety of codimension $k-l-p$ of $\pi^{-1}(g_*^n(\omega_Y^l))$ and has proper intersections with the "bad" set $\mathcal{B}$, using the property $\pi \circ f = g \circ \pi$. We note that by definition the degree of R is $\deg'_p(f^n|\pi)$.

We observe that in $N^*(X)$:

$$R \leq C \deg(R) \omega_X^{k-l-p} \wedge \pi^{-1}(g_*^n(\omega_Y^l)), \tag{5.1}$$

here $C > 0$ is a positive constant independent of R, m, n and the maps π, f and g. In fact, consider the embedding $\pi^{-1}(g_*^n(\omega_Y^l)) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ induced from the embedding $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$. Regardless whether $\pi^{-1}(g_*^n(\omega_Y^l))$ is smooth or not, it has the correct dimension $k-l$. We then can use Roberts' version of Chow's moving lemma, to have that if $L \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is any linear subspace of dimension $n-(k-l)-1$ and $C_L(R)$ is the cone over R with vertex L, then $C_L(R) \cap \pi^{-1}(g_*^n(\omega_Y^l))$ has the correct dimension $\dim(R)$. In particular, since R is one component of $C_L(R) \cap \pi^{-1}(g_*^n(\omega_Y^l))$ and the degree of $C_L(R)$ is bounded from above by $C \deg(R)$, we obtain Equation (5.1).

Using the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 4.4, we can show moreover that in $N^*(X)$:

$$f_0^m(R) \leq C \deg(R) f_0^m(\omega_X^{k-l-p} \wedge \pi^{-1}(g_*^n(\omega_Y^l))). \tag{5.2}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.3, by noting that $\pi^{-1}(g_*^n(\omega_Y^l))$ is contained in at most $\deg(Y)$ fibers of the form $\pi^{-1}(\omega_Y^l)$. □

Hence, part 1) of Theorem 1.1 is proven, except we did not show that the limit is independent of the choice of the embeddings ι_X and ι_Y. But this is clear since ω_X is ample and ω_Y^l has the same class in $N^*(Y)$ as a positive multiple of the class of a point, and relative dynamical degrees can be computed on numerical classes. That these numbers are ≥ 1 follows easily from the fact that they are limits of the n-th roots of degrees of non-trivial subvarieties of X, and the latter are positive integers.

5.2. **The general case.** In this subsection we prove Theorem 1.1 in the general case. Hence, we do not assume that X or Y is smooth or π is regular. We only require that X and Y are irreducible. We need to make use of the following special case of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 5.4. *Let X, Z be irreducible smooth projective varieties and Y an (not necessarily smooth) irreducible projective variety. Assume that $\dim(X) = \dim(Z)$, and there are two semi-conjugacies $\varphi : (Z, h) \to (X, f)$ and $\pi : (X, f) \to (Y, g)$, where both φ and π are dominant regular morphisms. Then the relative dynamical degrees (as defined in the previous subsection) of the semi-conjugacies $\pi : (X, f) \to (Y, g)$ and $\pi \circ \varphi : (Z, h) \to (Y, g)$ are the same.*

Proof. Let $U \subset X$ be a non-empty Zariski open set such that all fibers $\varphi^{-1}(x)$ are finite. Define $U' = \varphi^{-1}(U)$. Let $(f^n)_0$ and $(h^n)_0$ be the strict pushforward with respect to U and $\varphi^{-1}(U)$. Choose ω_X^p, ω_Z^p and ω_Y^l to be generic hyperplane sections. By duality, we have

$$\lambda_p(h|\pi \circ \varphi) = \lim_{n\to\infty} < \omega_Z^p, (h^n)_*(\varphi^*\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \omega_Z^{k-l-p}) >^{1/n} .$$

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We now estimate the number $< \omega_Z^p, (h^n)_*(\varphi^*\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \omega_Z^{k-l-p}) >$. From the previous results, we see that by choosing U appropriately, we may assume that $(h^n)|_{U'}(\varphi^*\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \omega_Z^{k-l-p})$ is well-defined as a variety, of the same class in $N^*(Z)$ as $(h^n)_*(\varphi^*\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \omega_Z^{k-l-p})$, the intersection $\omega_Z^p|_{U'} \wedge (h^n)|_{U'}(\varphi^*\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \omega_Z^{k-l-p})$ is well-defined and is the same as $< \omega_Z^p, (h^n)_*(\varphi^*\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \omega_Z^{k-l-p}) >$, and

$$(\varphi_{U'})_*(h^n)|_{U'}(\varphi^*\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \omega_Z^{k-l-p}) = (f^n)|_U(\varphi_{U'})_*\varphi^*\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \omega_Z^{k-l-p}.$$

Define $\varphi' = \varphi|_{U'}$. Let a be the degree of φ. Since $\omega_Z^p|_{U'} \wedge (h^n)|_{U'}(\varphi^*\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \omega_Z^{k-l-p})$ is a finite number of points, and $\varphi : U' \to U$ is a finite map, it follows that the wedge intersection $\varphi'_*(\omega_Z^p)|_{U'} \wedge \varphi'_*[(h^n)|_{U'}(\varphi^*\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \omega_Z^{k-l-p})]$ is well-defined. Moreover, since the degree of φ is a, for any point $x \in U$ there are at most a points in $\varphi^{-1}(x)$. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} < \omega_Z^p, (h^n)_*(\varphi^*\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \omega_Z^{k-l-p}) > &= \omega_Z^p|_{U'} \wedge (h^n)|_{U'}(\varphi^*\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \omega_Z^{k-l-p}) \\ &\leq a\varphi'_*(\omega_Z^p) \wedge \varphi'_*[(h^n)|_{U'}(\varphi^*\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \omega_Z^{k-l-p})]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi \circ h = f \circ \varphi$, we can assume also that $\varphi'_*[(h^n)|_{U'}(\varphi^*\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \omega_Z^{k-l-p})] = (f^n)|_U\varphi'_*[\varphi^*\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \omega_Z^{k-l-p}]$. We then obtain

$$< \omega_Z^p, (h^n)_*(\varphi^*\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \omega_Z^{k-l-p}) > \leq a\varphi'_*(\omega_Z^p) \wedge (f^n)|_U[\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \varphi'_*(\omega_Z^{k-l-p})].$$

We can choose U such that $(f^n)|_U[\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \varphi'_*(\omega_Z^{k-l-p}) = (f^n)_*[\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \varphi'_*(\omega_Z^{k-l-p}]$. Using the Main Lemma in [32], as in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we can find a cone $C_L(\varphi'_*(\omega_Z^p))$ which intersects $(f^n)|_U[\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \varphi'_*(\omega_Z^{k-l-p})$ properly on U, and by choosing a 1-family of automorphisms $g(t)$ of $\mathbb{P}^{\mathbb{N}}$ we have that $g(t)C_L(\varphi'_*(\omega_Z^p))|_X$ intersects $(f^n)|_U[\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \varphi'_*(\omega_Z^{k-l-p})$ properly, for generic $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$. From this we obtain, where from the second line the computations are in $N^*(X)$ and we use that the class of $g(t)C_L(\varphi'_*(\omega_Z^p)|_X$ is a constant

multiple of ω_X^p, where the constant depends only on φ and ω_Z.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varphi'_*(\omega_Z^p) \wedge (f^n)|_U[\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \varphi'_*(\omega_Z^{k-l-p})] \\
\leq\ & g(t)C_L(\varphi'_*(\omega_Z^p))|_X.(f^n)_*[\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \varphi'_*(\omega_Z^{k-l-p}] \\
=\ & < [g(t)C_L(\varphi'_*(\omega_Z^p))|_X], (f^n)_*[\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \varphi'_*(\omega_Z^{k-l-p}] > \\
=\ & < \omega_X^p, (f^n)_*[\pi^*(\omega_Y^l) \wedge \varphi'_*(\omega_Z^{k-l-p}] > \\
=\ & < [(f^n)^*(\omega_X^p) \wedge \pi^*(\omega_Y^l)], [\varphi_*\omega_Z^{k-l-p}] > \\
\leq\ & A < [(f^n)^*(\omega_X^p) \wedge \pi^*(\omega_Y^l)], [\omega_X^{k-l-p}] > \\
& \times < [\varphi_*\omega_Z^{k-l-p}], [\omega_X^{k+l}] > \\
\leq\ & A' < [(f^n)^*(\omega_X^p) \wedge \pi^*(\omega_Y^l)], [\omega_X^{k-l-p}] > \\
=\ & A' \deg_p(f^n|\pi).
\end{aligned}$$

In the fourth line, we have used the proof of Lemma 5.1 to represent the class $[(f^n)^*(\omega_X^p)].[\pi^*(\omega_Y^l]$ by the class of a variety $(f^n)^*(\omega_X^p) \wedge \pi^*(\omega_Y^l)$. The fifth line follows from the fourth line by applying Lemma 2.2.

From the above computation, we obtain $\lambda_p(h|\pi \circ \varphi) \leq \lambda_p(f|\pi)$. The reverse inequality can be proven similarly (and easier). □

Now we proceed to defining relative dynamical degrees in this general case. Let $\tau : X' \to X$ be an alteration, where τ is generically finite and so that $\pi \circ \tau$ is a regular morphism. Let $\tau^* f$ be the pullback of the correspondence f by τ, as defined in Section 3. We then define, using the regular case considered in the previous subsection

$$\lambda_p(f|\pi) := \frac{1}{\deg(\tau)} \lambda_p(\tau^* f|\tau \circ \pi).$$

To show that this is well-defined, we need to show the following. Let $\tau_1 : X_1 \to X$ and $\tau_2 : X_2 \to X$ be two alterations, so that $\pi \circ \tau_1$ and $\pi \circ \tau_2$ are regular, then

$$\frac{1}{\deg(\tau_1)} \lambda_p(\tau_1^* f|\tau_1 \circ \pi) = \frac{1}{\deg(\tau_2)} \lambda_p(\tau_2^* f|\tau_2 \circ \pi).$$

To this end, we first observe that there is another alteration $\tau_3 : X_3 \to X$ together with two generically finite morphisms $\varphi_1 : X_3 \to X_1$ and $\varphi_2 : X_3 \to X_2$ such that $\tau_1 \circ \varphi_1 = \tau_3 = \tau_2 \circ \varphi_2$. In fact, let $\Gamma \subset X_1 \times X_2$ be an irreducible component of the correct dimension of the set $\{(x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2 : \ \tau_1(x_1) = \tau_2(x_2)\}$ and which is dominant over X_1 and X_2. Then any alteration X_3 of Γ will satisfy the requirement.

Since $\tau_3 = \tau_1 \circ \varphi_1 = \tau_2 \circ \varphi_2$, we have $\varphi_1^*(\tau_1^* f) = \tau_3^* f = \varphi_2^*(\tau_2^* f)$. By Lemma 3.4, we have semi-conjugacies $(X_3, \tau_3^* f) \to (X_1, \deg(\varphi_1)\tau_1^* f)$ and $(X_3, \tau_3^* f) \to (X_2, \deg(\varphi_2)\tau_2^* f)$. Writing $\pi_i = \pi \circ \tau_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, by Lemma 5.4, we have

$$\deg(\varphi_1)\lambda_p(\tau_1^* f|\pi_1) = \lambda_p(\tau_3^* f|\pi_3) = \deg(\varphi_2)\lambda_p(\tau_2^* f|\pi_2),$$

which, together with the equality $\deg(\varphi_1)\deg(\tau_1) = \deg(\tau_3) = \deg(\varphi_2)\deg(\tau_2)$, gives us the desired equality

$$\frac{1}{\deg(\tau_1)} \lambda_p(\tau_1^* f|\pi_1) = \frac{1}{\deg(\tau_3)} \lambda_p(\tau_3^* f|\pi_3) = \frac{1}{\deg(\tau_2)} \lambda_p(\tau_2^* f|\pi_2).$$

Thus the relative dynamical degrees in this case are well-defined. Part 2) of Theorem 1.1 follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5. *Let be given two semi-conjugacies $\pi_1 : (X_1, f_1) \to (Y, g)$ and $\pi_2 : (X_2, f_2) \to (Y_2, g_2)$, together with two semi-conjugacies $\varphi : (X_2, f_2) \to (X_1, f_1)$ and $\psi : (Y_2, g_2) \to (Y_1, g_1)$ where the two composition maps $\psi \circ \pi_2$ and $\pi_1 \circ \varphi$ are the same. Assume that $\psi : Y_2 \to Y_1$ is a generically finite rational map, and moreover that φ is birational. Then $\lambda_p(f_1|\pi_1) = \lambda_p(f_2|\pi_2)$ for all $p = 0, \ldots, \dim(X_1) - \dim(Y_1)$.*

Proof. Since $\varphi : X_2 \to X_1$ is a birational map, it can be easily checked that $f_2 = \varphi^*(f_1)$. Let $\tau_2 : Z \to X_2$ be an alteration (so Z is smooth and τ_2 is a generically finite regular morphism) so that the composition maps $\tau_1 = \varphi \circ \tau_2 : Z \to X_1$, $\pi_2' = \pi_2 \circ \tau_2 : Z \to Y_2$ and $\pi_1' = \pi_1 \circ \tau_1 : Z \to Y_1$ are all regular. Let $h : Z \to Z$ be the pullback of f_2 by τ_2, that is $h = \tau_2^* f_2$. Then from $\tau_1 = \varphi \circ \tau_2$ and $f_2 = \varphi^*(f_1)$, we have $\tau_1^*(f_1) = \tau_2^*\varphi^*(f_1) = \tau_2^*(f_2)$. Therefore, from $\deg(\tau_1) = \deg(\tau_2)$ since π is birational, by definition we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_p(f_1|\pi_1) &= \frac{1}{\deg(\tau_1)}\lambda_p(\tau_1^*(f_1)|\pi_1') \\ &= \frac{1}{\deg(\tau_2)}\lambda_p(\tau_2^*(f_2)|\pi_2') \\ &= \lambda_p(f_2|\pi_2). \end{aligned}$$

Here the second equality between $\lambda_p(\tau_1^*(f_1)|\pi_1')$ and $\lambda_p(\tau_2^*(f_2)|\pi_2')$ remains to be explained, since the spaces involved are different. Define $f := \tau_1^*(f_1) : Z \to Z$, which is also the same as $\tau^*(f_2)$. From the assumption of the lemma, we have $\pi_1' = \psi \circ \pi_2'$. Therefore, $(\pi_1')^*(\omega_{Y_1}^l) = (\pi_2')^*(\psi^*(\omega_{Y_1}^l))$. Using part 1) of Theorem 1.1, which says that relative dynamical degrees can be computed using numerical classes, and the fact that in $N^*(Y_2)$ the two classes of $\psi^*(\omega_{Y_1}^l)$ and $\omega_{Y_2}^l$ are positive multiples of each other, the desired equality follows. □

Now we proceed to proving the log-concavity of relative dynamical degrees i.e. part 3) of Theorem 1.1. We note that in the case the underlying field is $\mathbb{C}$, our proof here is different from and simpler than that used in Proposition 3.6 of [9].

Lemma 5.6. *Assume that there is an infinite sequence $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for which the graph of f^{n_i} is irreducible for all i. Then, the relative dynamical degrees are log-concave, that is $\lambda_{p+1}(f|\pi)\lambda_{p-1}(f|\pi) \leq \lambda_p(f|\pi)^2$ for all $1 \leq p \leq k - l - 1$.*

Proof. If X is smooth and π is regular, then the result follows by applying the Grothendieck-Hodge index theorem to an alternation of $\Gamma_{f^{n_i}}$, using part 1) of Theorem 1.1. In the general case we proceed as follows.

Let $\tau_1 : X_1 \to X$ be an alteration of degree d_1 so that X_1 is smooth and the composition $\pi_1 = \pi \circ \tau_1 : X_1 \to Y$ is a regular morphism. Define $f_1 = \tau_1^* f : X_1 \to X_1$. By definition, we have

$$\lambda_p(f|\pi) = \frac{1}{d_1}\lambda_p(f_1|\pi_1)$$

From the proof of Lemma 5.1, it can be easily shown that

$$\lambda_p(f_1|\pi_1) = \lim_{n\to\infty} < (f_1^n)^*\tau_1^*(\omega_X^p) \wedge \pi_1^*(\omega_Y^l), \tau_1^*(\omega_X^{k-l-p}) >^{1/n} .$$

Now we prove the log-concavity of relative dynamical degrees. To this end, it suffices to show that

$$\deg_{p+1}(f|\pi)\deg_{p-1}(f|\pi) \leq \deg_p(f|\pi)^2.$$

Choose n_i to be a positive integer for which the graph $\Gamma_f^{n_i}$ is irreducible. Let $\Gamma \to \Gamma_{f^{n_i}}$ be an alteration of degree d of the graph of f^{n_i}, and let $\pi_1, \pi_2 : \Gamma \to X$ be the two projections. We write $\Gamma_{f_1^{n_i}} = \sum_{q\in I_{n_i}} G_q$ for some finite set I_{n_i}, where G_q are irreducible components. For each $q \in I_{n_i}$, there is an alteration $\widetilde{G_q} \to G_q$ of degree a_q which is equipped with a regular morphism $\alpha_q : \widetilde{G_q} \to \Gamma$. Denote by $p_{1,q}, p_{2,q} : \widetilde{\Gamma_q} \to X$ the natural projections. By definition

$$\begin{aligned} & < (f_1^n)^*\tau_1^*(\omega_X^p) \wedge \pi_1^*(\omega_Y^l), \tau_1^*(\omega_X^{k-l-p}) > \\ = & \sum_{q\in I_{n_i}} \frac{1}{a_q} p_{1,q}^*.\pi_1^*(\omega_Y^l).p_{1,q}^*\tau_1^*(\omega_X^{k-l-p}).p_{2,q}^*\tau_1^*(\omega_X^p). \end{aligned}$$

We now show that each summand in the RHS of the above equality is a positive multiple of the following

$$p_1^*.\pi^*(\omega_Y^l).p_1^*(\omega_X^{k-l-p}).p_2^*(\omega_X^p).$$

Moreover, the multiples depend only on the degrees of the morphisms $\widetilde{G_q} \to \Gamma$, and are independent of $p = \{0, \ldots, k-l\}$. This claim can be easily seen by chasing various commutative diagrams of surjective morphisms, see also the proof of Lemma 5.7 below. Since the last number is an intersection of nef classes on the irreducible smooth variety Γ, we can approximate them by $\mathbb{Q}$-ample classes, and use the usual Grothendieck-Hodge index theorem to prove the log-concavity. □

Hence, part 3) of Theorem 1.1 is proven. Now we proceed to proving part 4) of Theorem 1.1, which also finishes the proof of the Theorem, because part 5) is standard. Provided that we can prove results from Section 3 in [10] (c.f. also Section 4 in [9]), part 4) will follow easily. We can assume that X is a smooth projective variety and $\pi : X \to Y$ is a surjective morphism. Let us first discuss the idea for the proof of part 4). We note that by arguments as in the proofs of Lemmas 4.1 and 5.4, the inequality $a\lambda_p(f) \leq \max_{0\leq j\leq l,\ 0\leq p-j\leq k-l} \lambda_j(g)\lambda_{p-j}(f|\pi)$ follows provided we can prove Lemma 4.3 in [9], while the reverse inequality $a\lambda_p(f) \geq \max_{0\leq j\leq l,\ 0\leq p-j\leq k-l} \lambda_j(g)\lambda_{p-j}(f|\pi)$ follows provided we can prove Lemma 4.5 in [9]. (Remark that in [10] we simplified the treatment of part 4) in [9] by using an analogue of Lemma 4.1, so that we do not need to consider a surjective morphism $\Pi = (\pi, v) : X \to Y \times \mathbb{P}^{k-l}$.)

Lemma 4.3 in [9] (see also [10]) was proven, in the case f is a dominant rational map, by using the following (which is in lines 2-3 in the proof of that lemma): $(f^n)^*(\pi^*(\omega_Y^{p-q})\wedge\omega_X^q) \leq (f^n)^*(\pi^*(\omega_Y^{p-q})) \overset{o}{\wedge} (f^n)^*(\omega_X^q)$. In our case, this would amount to proving that for a general correspondence $a^n(f^n)^*(\pi^*(\omega_Y^{p-q}) \wedge \omega_X^q) \leq (f^n)^*(\pi^*(\omega_Y^{p-q})) \overset{o}{\wedge} (f^n)^*(\omega_X^q)$. While this holds for $a = 1$, there is no reason why it should be true for $a > 1$.

Lemma 4.5 in [9] (see also [10]) was proven, in the case f is a dominant rational map, using the following (which is in lines 7-9 in the proof of that lemma): $(f^n)^*(\pi^*(\omega_Y^{p-q})\wedge\omega_X^q) \geq$

$(f^n)^*(\pi^*(\omega_Y^{p-q})) \overset{o}{\wedge} (f^n)^*(\omega_X^q)$. In our case, this would amount to proving that for a general correspondence $a^n(f^n)^*(\pi^*(\omega_Y^{p-q}) \wedge \omega_X^q) \geq (f^n)^*(\pi^*(\omega_Y^{p-q})) \overset{o}{\wedge} (f^n)^*(\omega_X^q)$. This seems to be false, even if we choose a as large as we like, by considering as simple examples as $f = f_1 + f_2$, where f_1, f_2 are two dominant rational maps.

To overcome these difficulties when working with general correspondences, we use the observation that both Lemmas 4.3 and 4.5 in [9] follow if we can show the following: If f is a dominant rational map (hence so is g), then the two numbers $a^n < (f^n)^*(\pi^*(\omega_Y^{p-q}) \wedge \omega_X^q), \pi^*(\omega_Y^{l-p+p_0}) \wedge \omega_X^{k-l-p_0} >$ and $(f^n)^*\pi^*(\omega_Y^{p-q}) \wedge \pi^*(\omega_Y^{l-p+p_0}) \overset{o}{\wedge} (f^n)^*(\omega_X^q) \wedge \omega_X^{k-l-p_0}$ are compatible. Using the arguments in the proof of Lemma 5.6 to each irreducible component of Γ_{g^n}, we are able to achieve this estimate under the assumption that g is a multiple of a rational map.

Lemma 5.7. *Let X, Y be irreducible smooth projective varieties of corresponding dimensions $k \geq l$, and $\pi : (X, f) \to (Y, g)$ a semi-conjugacy where $\pi : X \to Y$ is a surjective regular morphism. Assume that $g = ag'$ where $g' : Y \to Y$ is a dominant rational map. Let ω_X be an ample divisor on X and ω_Y an ample divisor on Y. Then, there are two positive constants C_1, C_2 such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p, q, r \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & C_1(f^n)^*\pi^*(\omega_Y^p) \wedge \pi^*(\omega_Y^r) \overset{o}{\wedge} (f^n)^*(\omega_X^q) \wedge \omega_X^{k-p-q-r} \\ \leq\ & a^n < (f^n)^*(\pi^*(\omega_Y^p) \wedge \omega_X^q), \pi^*(\omega_Y^r) \wedge \omega_X^{k-p-q-r} > \\ \leq\ & C_2(f^n)^*\pi^*(\omega_Y^p) \wedge \pi^*(\omega_Y^r) \overset{o}{\wedge} (f^n)^*(\omega_X^q) \wedge \omega_X^{k-p-q-r}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If f is a dominant rational map, then the arguments in the proofs of Lemmas 4.3 and 4.5 in [9] applies almost verbatim, pending the simplifications made in [10]. In the general case, we argue as follows.

Fix a number n, and let $G_n \subset Y \times Y$ be the graph of the dominant rational map $(g')^n : Y \to Y$. Let $\Gamma_{f^n} = \sum_i \Gamma_i$ where Γ_i are irreducible varieties. Let Γ be one among the Γ_i's. Since $\pi : (X, f) \to (Y, g)$ is a semi-conjugacy, it follows that the image of Γ under the regular morphism $\pi \times \pi : X \times X \to Y \times Y$ must be G_n. We let $\widetilde{G_n} \to G_n$ be an alteration of degree say d, and $p_1, p_2 : \widetilde{G_n} \to Y$ be the two natural projections. We can choose an alteration $\widetilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$ of degree say d' which is equipped with a regular surjective morphism $\gamma : \widetilde{\Gamma} \to \widetilde{G_n}$ so that the two compositions $\widetilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma \to G_n$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma} \to \widetilde{G_n} \to G_n$ coincide. Let $p_1', p_2' : \widetilde{\Gamma} \to X$ be the two natural projections.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& < \Gamma^*(\pi^*(\omega_Y^p) \wedge \omega_X^q), \pi^*(\omega_Y^r) \wedge \omega_X^{k-p-q-r} > \\
= \ & \frac{1}{d'}(p_1')^*\pi^*(\omega_Y^r) \wedge (p_1')^*(\omega_X^{k-p-q-r}) \wedge (p_2')^*\pi^*(\omega_Y^p) \wedge (p_2')^*(\omega_X^q) \\
= \ & \frac{1}{d'}\gamma^*(p_1^*(\omega_Y^r) \wedge p_2^*(\omega_Y^p)) \wedge (p_1')^*(\omega_X^{k-p-q-r}) \wedge (p_2')^*(\omega_X^q) \\
\sim \ & \frac{1}{d'}\frac{1}{d}\gamma^* p_1^*(p_1)_*(p_1^*(\omega_Y^r) \wedge p_2^*(\omega_Y^p)) \wedge (p_1')^*(\omega_X^{k-p-q-r}) \wedge (p_2')^*(\omega_X^q) \\
\sim \ & (p_1')^*\pi^*[\frac{1}{d}(p_1)_* p_2^*(\omega_Y^p) \wedge \omega_Y^r] \wedge (p_1')^*(\omega_X^{k-p-q-r}) \wedge [\frac{1}{d'}(p_2')^*(\omega_X^q)] \\
\sim \ & \pi^*[G_n^*(\omega_Y^p) \wedge \omega_Y^r] \wedge \Gamma^*(\omega_X^q) \wedge \omega_X^{k-p-q-r}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\sim$ means that the two numbers involved are positive multiples of each other, where the multiples are constants bounded in terms of X and Y only. We used in the fourth line of the above expression that

$$\frac{1}{d}p_1^o(p_1)_*(p_1^*(\omega_Y^r) \wedge p_2^*(\omega_Y^p)) = p_1^*(\omega_Y^r) \wedge p_2^*(\omega_Y^p),$$

(here p_1^o on the far left means the strict pullback by p_1) which follows from the fact that the projection to the first factor $G_n \to Y$ is a birational morphism, since G_n is the graph of a (dominant) rational map on Y.

If we take the sum all over Γ's, the proof of the lemma is completed, by using Lemma 4.1 and the fact that $(g^n)^* = a^n G_n^*$. □

5.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** We observe that it is enough to prove Proposition 4.5 in [9]. Checking the proof of that proposition carefully, we see that it suffices to show the following: for every $a > \lambda_0(g)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& a^n < (f^n)^*(\pi^*(\omega_Y^j) \wedge \omega_X^{p-j}), \pi^*(\omega_Y^{l-j}) \wedge \omega_X^{k-p-q+j} > \\
\geq \ & \pi^*[(g^n)^*(\omega_Y^j) \wedge \omega_Y^{l-j}] \overset{o}{\wedge} (f^n)^*(\omega_X^{p-j}) \wedge \omega_X^{k-l-p+j},
\end{aligned}$$

provided that n is large enough and g^n is irreducible.

To this end, we follow the proof of Lemma 5.7. Let n be an integer for which g^n is irreducible, and write $g^n = a_n G_n$, where $G_n \subset Y \times Y$ is irreducible. Let $\Gamma_{f^n} = \sum_i \Gamma_i$ where Γ_i are irreducible varieties. Let Γ be one among the Γ_i's. Since $\pi : (X, f) \to (Y, g)$ is a semi-conjugacy, it follows that the image of Γ under the regular morphism $\pi \times \pi : X \times X \to Y \times Y$ must be G_n. We let $\widetilde{G_n} \to G_n$ be an alteration of degree say d, and $p_1, p_2 : \widetilde{G_n} \to Y$ be the two natural projections. We can choose an alteration $\widetilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$ of degree say d' which is equipped with a regular surjective morphism $\gamma : \widetilde{\Gamma} \to \widetilde{G_n}$ so that the two compositions $\widetilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma \to G_n$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma} \to \widetilde{G_n} \to G_n$ coincide. Let $p_1', p_2' : \widetilde{\Gamma} \to X$ be the two natural projections. Let b_n be the degree of the morphism $G_n \to Y$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& < \Gamma^*(\pi^*(\omega_Y^j) \wedge \omega_X^{p-j}), \pi^*(\omega_Y^{l-j}) \wedge \omega_X^{k-l-p+j} > \\
= \ & \frac{1}{d'}(p_1')^*\pi^*(\omega_Y^{l-j}) \wedge (p_1')^*(\omega_X^{k-l-p+j}) \wedge (p_2')^*\pi^*(\omega_Y^j) \wedge (p_2')^*(\omega_X^{p-j}) \\
= \ & \frac{1}{d'}\gamma^*(p_1^*(\omega_Y^{l-j}) \wedge p_2^*(\omega_Y^j)) \wedge (p_1')^*(\omega_X^{k-l-p+j}) \wedge (p_2')^*(\omega_X^{p-j}) \\
= \ & \frac{1}{d'}\frac{1}{d}\frac{1}{b_n}\gamma^* p_1^*(p_1)_*(p_1^*(\omega_Y^{l-j}) \wedge p_2^*(\omega_Y^j)) \wedge (p_1')^*(\omega_X^{k-l-p+j}) \wedge (p_2')^*(\omega_X^{p-j}) \\
= \ & \frac{1}{b_n}(p_1')^*\pi^*[\frac{1}{d}(p_1)_* p_2^*(\omega_Y^j) \wedge \omega_Y^{l-j}] \wedge (p_1')^*(\omega_X^{k-l-p+j}) \wedge [\frac{1}{d'}(p_2')^*(\omega_X^{p-j})] \\
= \ & \frac{1}{b_n}\pi^*[G_n^*(\omega_Y^j) \wedge \omega_Y^{l-j}] \wedge \Gamma^*(\omega_X^{p-j}) \wedge \omega_X^{k-l-p+j}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the above, we used that if $\tau : Y' \to Y$ is a generically finite surjective morphism and α is a finite union of points in Y' then $\tau^*\tau_*(\alpha)$ and $\deg(\tau)\alpha$ have the same class in $N^*(Y')$. This is then applied to $Y' = \widetilde{G_n}$, $\tau = p_1$, and $\alpha = p_1^*(\omega_Y^{l-j}) \wedge p_2^*(\omega_Y^j)$ (recall here that $\dim(Y) = l$).

Taking the sum all over Γ's, and by observing that $(g^n)^* = a_n G_n^*$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty}(a_n b_n)^{1/n} = \lambda_0(g)$, we obtain the inequality

$$\lambda_0(g)\lambda_p(f) \geq \max_{0\leq j\leq l,\ 0\leq p-j\leq k-l} \lambda_j(g)\lambda_{p-j}(f|\pi),$$

for all $p = 0, \ldots, \dim(X)$.

To finish the proof of the theorem, we need to show that if $c > 0$ is a constant such that

$$c\lambda_p(f) \geq \max_{0\leq j\leq l,\ 0\leq p-j\leq k-l} \lambda_j(g)\lambda_{p-j}(f|\pi),$$

for all $p = 0, \ldots, \dim(X)$, then $c \geq \lambda_0(g)$. In fact, if this is the case, then (by choosing $p = 0$) we must have $c\lambda_0(f) \geq \lambda_0(g)\lambda_0(f|\pi)$. From the proof of Theorem 6.3, we have that $\lambda_0(f) = \lambda_0(f|\pi)$, and hence $c \geq \lambda_0(g)$ as wanted.

5.4. **Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Let $\tau_2 : Z \to X_2$ be an alteration (so Z is smooth and τ_2 is a generically finite regular morphism) so that the composition maps $\tau_1 = \varphi \circ \tau_2 : Z \to X_1$, $\pi_2' = \pi_2 \circ \tau_2 : Z \to Y_2$ and $\pi_1' = \pi_1 \circ \tau_1 : Z \to Y_1$ are all regular.

1) Let us define two correspondences over Z: $h_1 = \tau_1^*(f_1)$ and $h_2 = \tau_2^*(f_2)$. We have two semi-conjugacies $(Z, h_1) \to (X_1, \deg(\tau_1)f_1)$ and $(Z, h_2) \to (X_2, \deg(\tau_2)f_2)$. By the last part of the proof of Lemma 5.5, we have that $\lambda_p(h_1|\pi_1') = \lambda_p(h_1|\pi_2')$ for all p. Hence, by definition and the fact that $\deg(\tau_1) = \deg(\tau_2)\deg(\varphi)$ since $\tau_1 = \varphi\tau_2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_p(f_1|\pi_1) &= \frac{1}{\deg(\tau_1)}\lambda_p(h_1|\pi_1') = \frac{1}{\deg(\tau_2)\deg(\varphi)}\lambda_p(h_1|\pi_2'), \\
\lambda_p(f_2|\pi_2) &= \frac{1}{\deg(\tau_2)}\lambda_p(h_2|\pi_2').
\end{aligned}$$

First we show that $\Gamma_{h_1} - \Gamma_{h_2}$ is an effective variety, that is all irreducible components of Γ_{h_2} are contained in Γ_{h_1} and the multiplicity of that component in Γ_{h_2} is bounded from above by the corresponding in Γ_{h_1}. To this end, by defining $f_2' = \varphi_2^*(f_1) : X_2 \to X_2$ we observe that $h_1 = \tau_2^*\varphi^*(f_1) = \tau_2^*(f_2')$, therefore to show that $\Gamma_{h_1} - \Gamma_{h_2}$ is an effective variety

it suffices to show that $\Gamma_{f_2'} - \Gamma_{f_2}$ is effective. This follows easily from the fact that for a generic $x_2 \in X_2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi f_2(x_2) &= f_1\varphi(x_2), \\ f_2'(x_2) &= \varphi^{-1} f_1 \varphi(x_2). \end{aligned}$$

From this, it readily follows that $\Gamma_{h_1^n} - \Gamma_{h_2^n}$ is an effective variety for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, we obtain by definition of relative dynamical degrees that $\lambda_p(h_1|\pi_2') \geq \lambda_p(h_2|\pi_2')$ for all p. The above consideration then implies that $\deg(\varphi)\lambda_p(f_1|\pi_1) \geq \lambda_p(f_2|\pi_2)$ for all p.

Now we finish the proof of 1). Applying the above inequality to f_1^n and f_2^n instead of f_1 and f_2, we obtain $\deg(\varphi)\lambda_p(f_1^n|\pi_1) \geq \lambda_p(f_2^n|\pi_2)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From this and the fact (following from part 1) of Theorem 1.1) that $\lambda_p(f_1^n|\pi_1) = \lambda_p(f_1|\pi_1)^n$ and $\lambda_p(f_2^n|\pi_2) = \lambda_p(f_2|\pi_2)^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\lambda_p(f_1|\pi_1) \geq \lambda_p(f_2|\pi_2)$ for all p.

2) Assume that condition a) is satisfied, that is X_1 is smooth and π_1 is regular. We consider the correspondence $h = \tau_2^*(f_2)$. By Lemma 3.4, we have a semi-conjugacy $(Z, h = \tau_2^*(f_2)) \to (X_2, \deg(\tau_2)f_2)$, which together with the given semi-conjugacy $(X_2, f_2) \to (X_1, f_1)$ gives also a semi-conjugacy $(Z, h) \to (X_1, \deg(\tau_2)f_1)$. By definition, we have $\lambda_p(h|\pi_2') = \deg(\tau_2)\lambda_p(f_2|\pi_2)$. Under condition a), Lemma 5.4 can be applied, and we obtain $\lambda_p(h|\pi_1') = \deg(\tau_2)\lambda_p(f_1|\pi_1)$. The argument at the end of the proof of Lemma 5.5 helps to show that $\lambda_p(h|\pi_1') = \lambda_p(h|\pi_2')$, and the latter gives that $\lambda_p(f_1|\pi_1) = \lambda_p(f_2|\pi_2)$ as wanted.

Assume that condition b) is satisfied. Then we can find a resolution $\tau : X_1' \to X_1$ such that X_1' is smooth and the induced map $\pi_1 \circ \tau : X_1' \to Y_1$ is regular. Since τ is birational, the semi-conjugacy $(X_2, f_2) \to (X_1, f_1)$ lifts to a semi-conjugacy $(X_2, f_2) \to (X_1', f_1' = \tau^*(f_1))$. By Lemma 5.5, $\lambda_p(f_1'|\pi_1 \circ \tau) = \lambda_p(f_1|\pi)$. Hence this case is reduced to the previous case, and the proof is completed.

5.5. **Proof of Theorem 1.4.** By using pullback to an alteration X_1 of X if necessary, we may assume that X is smooth. Since $f_1, f_2 : X \to X$ are commutative, we have

$$(f_1 + f_2)^n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} C(n,i) f_1^i f_2^{n-i},$$

where $C(n,i)$ are the binomial coefficients. In the proof of Theorem 5.3, by using f_1^i, f_2^{n-i} and their composition in the place of f^n, f^m and f^{n+m}, we find that there is a constant $A > 0$ depending only on X and Y and the map π such that in the notation of Subsection 5.1

$$\deg_p((f_1 + f_2)^n) \leq A \sum_{i=0}^{n} C(n,i) \deg_p(f_1^i) \deg_p(f_2^{n-i}).$$

This, together with part 1) of Theorem 1.1, concludes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

6. Extensions and applications

In this section we collect some extensions and applications.

6.1. **Correspondences over non-projective varieties.** Let K be an algebraic closed field, X an (not necessarily projective) irreducible variety and $f : X \to X$ a correspondence. By de Jong's theorem, there is a generically finite rational map $\tau : X' \to X$ for which X' is a smooth irreducible projective variety. We then define, as in the proof of Theorem 1.1

$$\lambda_p(f) = \frac{1}{\deg(\tau)}\lambda_p(\tau^*(f)).$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 shows that this is well-defined.

If X is not projective but Y is projective, we can define relative dynamical degrees similarly.

6.2. **Correspondences over reducible varieties.** Let K be an algebraic closed field, and X, Y (reducible) projective varieties. Let $f : X \to X$ and $g : Y \to Y$ be dominant correspondences. Assume that $\pi : X \to Y$ is a dominant rational map such that $\pi \circ f^n = g^n \circ \pi$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (Recall from Section 3 that both definitions of iterates f^n and semi-conjugacies for correspondences over reducible varieties are quite delicate.) Then relative dynamical degrees can be defined and satisfy all the properties in Theorem 1.1. The reason for this is that in proving these results, the property we need is that we have an equality between strict transforms $(f^n)_0 \circ (f^m)_0 = (f^{n+m})_0$ outside certain bad sets, and this is certainly true for the general case considered here even though the iterates f^n are more involved to define.

Let us elaborate on how to proceed. We discuss the case of dynamical degrees only, the general case of relative dynamical degrees is similar. Let $X = X_1 \cup \dots X_m$ be a reducible projective variety, where X_i's are distinct irreducible components of it. Let $f : X \to X$ be a dominant rational morphism. We claim that there are alterations $\tau_i : Z_i \to X_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, where Z_i are distinct irreducible smooth varieties. In fact, we choose first for each i an alteration $Z_i' \to X_i$. If the Z_i' are pairwise distinct, we are done. Otherwise, if $Z_i' = Z_j'$, we replace any one of these by the blowup at several points while keeping the other one unchanged. This procedure is done until the Z_i' are pairwise distinct.

For each component $f_{i,j} : X_i \to X_j$ of f, we consider the pullback $g_{i,j} : Z_i \to Z_j$, where the graph of $g_{i,j}$ is the pullback of $\Gamma_{f_{i,j}}$ by the generically finite morphism $\tau_i \times \tau_j : Z_i \times Z_j \to X_i \times X_j$. Define $d_{i,j}$ = the degree of the map $\tau_i \times \tau_j = d_i d_j$, where d_i is the degree of the morphism τ_i. By Lemma 3.4, we have a semi-conjugacy of pairs $\tau_{i,j} = (\tau_i, \tau_j) : (Z_i, Z_j, g_{i,j}) \to (X_i, X_j, d_{i,j} f_{i,j})$. We define $d = \prod_{(i,j)\in I} d_{i,j}$, and

$$g = \sum_{(i,j)} \frac{d}{d_{i,j}} g_{i,j}.$$

Let $Z = Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_m$, then g is a correspondence on Z and it is dominant since f is dominant. Since Z_i are distinct and X_i are distinct, we see by definition that $f_{i,j}$ and $f_{k,l}$ can be composed if and only if $g_{i,j}$ and $g_{k,l}$ can be composed. From this, it can be checked that we have a semi-conjugacy $\tau : (Z, g) \to (X, df)$ which moreover satisfies $\tau \circ g^n = f^n \circ \tau$, where $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m) : (Z_1, \dots, Z_m) \to (X_1, \dots, X_m)$. Then, provided that we can define $\lambda_p(g)$, we then can assign

$$\lambda_p(f) := \frac{1}{d}\lambda_p(g).$$

Now we indicate how to define $\lambda_p(g)$ and to show that the above definition is independent of the choice of Z. Let ω_i be an ample divisor on Z_i. We then define

$$\deg_p(g) = \sum_{(i,j)\in I} f_{i,j}^*(\omega_j^p) \wedge \omega_i^{k-p}.$$

Then it can be proven as before that the following limit exists

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (\deg_p(g^n))^{1/n},$$

and we assign $\lambda_p(g)$ to be this limit.

Now we show that the definition of $\lambda_p(f)$, as defined above, is independent of the choice of Z. Let be given another $Z' = Z'_1 \cup \dots Z'_m$ together with alterations $\tau'_i : Z'_i \to X_i$ and pullbacks $g_{i,j} = (\tau'_i, \tau'_j)^*(f_{i,j})$. Let $d'_{i,j}$ be the degree of the morphism $\tau'_i \times \tau'_j : Z'_i \times Z'_j \to X_i \times X_j$, and $d' = \prod_{(i,j)\in I} d'_{i,j}$. Then the correspondence $g' : Z' \to Z'$ is

$$g' = \sum_{(i,j)\in I} \frac{d'}{d'_{i,j}} g'_{i,j}.$$

We can find another $\widetilde{Z} = (\widetilde{Z_1}, \dots, \widetilde{Z_m})$ together with alterations $\varphi_i : \widetilde{Z}_i \to Z_i$ and $\varphi' : \widetilde{Z}_i \to Z'_i$ such that $\tau_i \circ \varphi_i = \tau'_i \circ \varphi'_i$, and we denote by $\widetilde{\tau}_i : \widetilde{Z}_i \to X_i$ this common morphism. We then define $\widetilde{g_{i,j}} : \widetilde{Z}_i \to \widetilde{Z_j}$ to be the pullback of $f_{i,j}$ by $(\widetilde{\tau}_i, \widetilde{\tau_j}) : (\widetilde{Z}_i, \widetilde{Z_j} \to (X_i, X_j)$, $\widetilde{d_{i,j}}$ = the degree of $\widetilde{\tau}_i \times \widetilde{\tau}_j : \widetilde{Z}_i \times \widetilde{Z_j} \to X_i \times X_j$, and $\widetilde{d} = \prod_{(i,j)\in I} \widetilde{d_{i,j}}$. The correspondence $\widetilde{g} : \widetilde{Z} \to \widetilde{Z}$ is finally given by

$$\widetilde{g} = \sum_{(i,j)\in I} \frac{\widetilde{d}}{\widetilde{d_{i,j}}} \widetilde{g_{i,j}}.$$

Now we consider each $(i,j) \in I$ separately. We have a semi-conjugacy $\widetilde{g_{i,j}} \to \deg(\varphi_i, \varphi_j) g_{i,j}$, therefore we have a semi-conjugacy

$$\frac{\widetilde{d}}{\widetilde{d_{i,j}}} \widetilde{g_{i,j}} \to \frac{\widetilde{d}}{\widetilde{d_{i,j}}} \deg(\varphi_i, \varphi_j) g_{i,j} = \frac{\widetilde{d}}{d_{i,j}} g_{i,j} = d' \frac{d}{d_{i,j}} g_{i,j}.$$

Taking the sum, we have a semi-conjugacy $\widetilde{g} \to d'g$, and moreover we have as argued before semi-conjugacies $\widetilde{g}^n \to (d')^n g^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Similarly, we have semi-conjugacies $\widetilde{g}^n \to d^n (g')^n$. From this, all the results proven previously for correspondences over irreducible varieties apply.

6.3. **Fields that are not algebraically closed.** Let K be a field, not necessarily closed, and $\overline{K}$ its algebraic closure. Let X be a variety over K, together with a dominant correspondence $f : X \to X$. Let $\overline{X}$ be the lift of X and $\overline{f}$ the lift of f to $\overline{K}$. We note that even if X is irreducible, $\overline{X}$ may not be so. Hence in general we need to work with correspondences over reducible varieties. We then can define dynamical degrees for f in terms of that for $\overline{f}$.

6.4. **The simplicity of the first dynamical degree.** Let K be an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic, and X a projective manifold. Assume that resolution of singularities is available for varieties over K up to $\dim(X)$. We obtain analogs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in [36], whose proofs, which are similar to those in [36], are omitted.

We say that a dominant rational map $f : X \to X$ is 1-stable if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the two pullback operators $(f^n)^* : N^1_{\mathbb{R}}(X) \to N^1_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ and $(f^*)^n : N^1_{\mathbb{R}}(X) \to N^1_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ are the same. On the one hand, not all dominant rational map are 1-stable, a simple example is that of the standard Cremona map $\sigma : \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ given by

$$\sigma[x : y : z] = [\frac{1}{x} : \frac{1}{y} : \frac{1}{z}].$$

On the other hand, there are many interesting dominant rational maps which are 1-stable, including regular selfmaps and pseudo-automorphisms in dimension 3. The latter is the class of all birational maps $f : X \to X$, where $\dim(X) = 3$, so that both f and f^{-1} have no exceptional divisors.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N_K$ be a projective manifold of dimension k, and $f : X \to X$ a dominant rational map which is 1-stable. Assume that $\lambda_1(f)^2 > \lambda_2(f)$. Then $\lambda_1(f)$ is a simple eigenvalue of $f^* : N^1_{\mathbb{R}}(X) \to N^1_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$. Further, $\lambda_1(f)$ is the only eigenvalue of modulus greater than $\sqrt{\lambda_2(f)}$.*

We denote by $r_1(f)$ and $r_2(f)$ the spectral radii of the linear maps $f^* : N^1_{\mathbb{R}}(X) \to N^1_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ and $f^* : N^2_{\mathbb{R}}(X) \to N^2_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N_K$ be a projective manifold, and let $f : X \to X$ be a dominant rational map. Assume that $f^* : N^2_{\mathbb{R}}(X) \to N^2_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ preserves the cone of effective classes. Then*

1) We have $r_1(f)^2 \geq r_2(f)$.

2) Assume moreover that $r_1(f)^2 > r_2(f)$. Then $r_1(f)$ is a simple eigenvalue of $f^* : N^1_{\mathbb{R}}(X) \to N^1_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$. Further, $r_1(f)$ is the only eigenvalue of modulus greater than $\sqrt{r_2(f)}$.

6.5. **Surfaces and threefolds over a field of positive characteristic.** All results in this paper are valid for surfaces and threefolds over a field of positive characteristic, since resolutions of singularities for surfaces (see [39, 1]) and threefolds (see [40, 2, 7, 5, 6]) over a field of positive characteristic are available.

6.6. **Primitive correspondences.** We say that a dominant correspondence $f : X \to X$ is primitive if there is no semi-conjugacy $\pi : (X, f) \to (Y, g)$, where Y is irreducible smooth and $0 < \dim(Y) < \dim(X)$. Note that any dominant correspondence $f : X \to X$ always semi-conjugate to a multiple of the identity map of a point, and hence in the above definition we may consider the special case where g is a multiple of a dominant rational map on Y, or more generally a correspondence g so that g^n is irreducible for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the examples in Remark 1.5, there are many semi-conjugacies $\pi : (X, f) \to (Y, g)$ where g is a multiple of a rational map but f is not a multiple of a rational map. In fact, such examples can always be constructed provided we are given two dominant rational maps $\pi : X \to Y$ and $g' : Y \to Y$, where π is not a birational map.

We say that a dominant correspondence $g : Y \to Y$ is morphism-like, if $\lambda_0(g) \leq \lambda_1(g)$ and g^n is irreducible for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For example, if g is a multiple of a dominant

rational map then it is morphism-like. We say that a dominant correspondence $f : X \to X$ is weakly primitive if it cannot be semi-conjugate to a correspondence $g : Y \to Y$, where $0 < \dim(Y) < \dim(X)$ and g is a morphism-like.

We have the following criterion for weakly primitivity. It says that if f is not morphism-like, then it must be weakly primitive.

Theorem 6.3. *If $\lambda_0(f) > \lambda_1(f)$, then f is weakly primitive.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 1.2, by using that for a morphism-like g we have $\lambda_1(g) \geq \lambda_0(g)$ and that $\lambda_0(f) = \lambda_0(f|\pi)$. The latter can be seen as follows. We have by definition

$$\lambda_0(f|\pi) = \lim_{n\to\infty}((f^n)^*(X).\pi^*(\omega_Y^l).\omega_X^{k-l})^{1/n} = \lim_{n\to\infty}||(f^n)^*(X)||^{1/n} = \lambda_0(f).$$

□

We note that under the assumptions of this theorem, it may still happen that f is imprimitive, being semi-conjugate to a correspondence which is not morphism-like. See Example 2 below for more detail.

Similarly, when f is a dominant rational map such that $\lambda_1(f) > \lambda_2(f)$, it can be shown as in [30] that f is (strongly) primitive. We also have the following consequence of the product formula and resolution of singularities for surfaces and threefolds. It can be applied to the case $\dim(X) \leq 4$.

Corollary 6.4. *Let X, Y be irreducible projective varieties (not necessarily smooth), and $\pi : (X, f) \to (Y, g)$ a semi-conjugacy of dominant correspondences, where g is a multiple of a rational map. Assume that $\dim(Y) \leq 3$. Then the product formula is satisfied.*

Example 1. For the Hurtwitz correspondences f, it was shown in [31] that $\lambda_0(f)$ is the largest dynamical degree, hence Theorem 6.3 may be applicable.

Example 2. Let $f' : X \to X$ be a dominant rational map such that $\lambda_k(f') > \lambda_{k-1}(f')$, where $k = \dim(X)$. (For example, f' is a regular morphism of $\mathbb{P}^k$ of degree $d \geq 2$.) Let $f = (f')^{-1}$ be the reverse correspondence of f', i.e. the graph of f is the image of the graph of f' by the automorphism $(x_1, x_2) \to (x_2, x_1)$ of $X \times X$. Then $\lambda_0(f) = \lambda_k(f') > \lambda_{k-1}(f') = \lambda_1(f)$. Therefore, Theorem 6.3 is applicable.

From a given $f : X \to X$ which satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 6.3, we can construct more in the following manner. Let $f_1, \ldots, f_m : X \to X$ be dominant correspondences for which any pair among $f, f_1, \ldots, f_m$ commute. (For example, choose the f_i's among the iterates of f.) Then the new correspondence $af + a_1f_1 + \ldots + a_mf_m$ with $a >> \max\{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$ will do the job, thanks to Theorem 1.4.

In these examples, it is possible that f is still imprimitive. In fact, let $k \geq 2$, $X = \mathbb{P}^k$, $Y = \mathbb{P}^{k-1}$, and $\pi : X \to Y$ the dominant rational map $[x_0 : x_1 : \ldots : x_{k-1} : x_k] \mapsto [x_0 : \ldots : x_{k-1}]$. Let $d \geq 2$, $f' : X \to X$ be the surjective morphism $[x_0 : \ldots : x_k] \mapsto [x_0^d : \ldots : x_k^d]$, and $g' : Y \to Y$ the surjective morphism $[x_0 : \ldots : x_{k-1}] \mapsto [x_0^d : \ldots : x_{k-1}^d]$. Let f be the reverse of f' and g the reverse of g'. Then it can be checked that we have a semi-conjugacy $\pi : (X, f) \to (Y, g)$. Note that the correspondence g is not morphism-like: while g^n (being the reverse of $(g')^n$) is irreducible for all n, the condition $\lambda_0(g) \leq \lambda_1(g)$ is not satisfied.

Example 3. Even in the case where the dynamical degrees of a correspondence do not satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 6.3, a full use of the product formula for dynamical

degrees may still help to show that a certain correspondence is primitive. For example, let $f : X \to X$ be a correspondence on a surface. Assume that there is an irreducible curve Y together with a dominant rational map $g' : Y \to Y$ and a dominant rational map $\pi : X \to Y$ so that $\pi \circ f = g \circ \pi$, where $g = ag'$. By Corollary 6.4, the product formula for relative dynamical degrees is valid in this situation, even when Y is not smooth. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a\lambda_0(f) &= \lambda_0(g)\lambda_0(f|\pi), \\ a\lambda_1(f) &= \max\{\lambda_0(g)\lambda_1(f|\pi), \lambda_1(g)\lambda_0(f|\pi)\}, \\ a\lambda_2(f) &= \lambda_1(g)\lambda_1(f|\pi). \end{aligned}$$

In particular

$$a^2\lambda_1(f)^2 \geq (\lambda_0(g)\lambda_1(f|\pi))(\lambda_1(g)\lambda_0(f|\pi)) = (\lambda_0(g)\lambda_0(f|\pi))(\lambda_1(g)\lambda_1(f|\pi)) = a^2\lambda_0(f)\lambda_2(f).$$

Hence, provided $\lambda_1(f)^2 < \lambda_0(f)\lambda_2(f)$ (that is, when the log-concavity fails), f cannot be semi-conjugate to a multiple of a dominant rational map over an irreducible curve Y.

In Remark 1.5, we constructed many examples of correspondences whose dynamical degrees violate the log-concavity. Here is one specific example. We let $h : \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ be a regular morphism of algebraic degree $d \geq 2$. Let a be a positive integer. Consider $f = h + a\Delta$, where Δ is the diagonal. Then $\lambda_0(f) = 1 + a$, $\lambda_1(f) = d + a$ and $\lambda_2(f) = d^2 + a$. It can be checked that $\lambda_1(f)^2 < \lambda_0(f)\lambda_2(f)$ for all choices of a.

Example 4. Similar to Example 3, if $\pi : (X, f) \to (Y, ag')$ is a semi-conjugacy, where $\dim(X) = 3$, $0 < \dim(Y) < 3$, and $g' : Y \to Y$ is a dominant rational map, then we must have $\lambda_0(f)\lambda_3(f) \leq \lambda_1(f)\lambda_2(f)$. A construction in the same line as that in Remark 1.5 and Example 2 provides many examples where the previous inequality is violated.

References

[1] S. Abhyankar, *Local uniformization on algebraic surfaces over ground fields of characteristic $p \neq 0$,* Annals Math., 2nd series, 63 (3), 491–526.

[2] S. Abhyankar, *Resolutions of singularities of embedded algebraic surfaces,* 2nd edition, Acad. Press, 1998.

[3] J. Blanc and Cantat, *Dynamical degrees of birational transformations of projective surfaces,* J. Amer. Math. Soc. 29 (2016), no 2, 415–471.

[4] S. Boucksom, C. Favre and M. Jonsson, *Degree growth of meromorphic surface maps,* Duke Math. J. 141 (2008), no 3, 519–538.

[5] V. Cossart and O. Piltant, *Resolutions of singularities of threefolds in positive characteristic. I. Reduction to uniformization on Artin-Schreier and purely inseparable coverings,* J. Algebra 320 (3), 1051–1082.

[6] V. Cossart and O. Piltant, *Resolutions of singularities of threefolds in positive characteristic. II.,* J. Algebra 321 (7), 1836–1976.

[7] S. D. Cutkosky, *Resolution of singularities for 3-folds in positive characteristic,* Amer. J. Math. 131 (1), 59–127.

[8] J. Diller and C. Favre, *Dynamics of bimeromorphic maps of surfaces,* Amer. J. Math. 123 (2001), no. 6, 1135–1169.

[9] T.-C. Dinh and V.-A. Nguyen, *Comparison of dynamical degrees for semi-conjugate meromorphic maps,* Comment. Math. Helv. 86 (2011), no. 4, 817–840.

[10] T.-C. Dinh, V.-A. Nguyen and T. T. Truong, *On the dynamical degrees of meromorphic maps preserving a fibration,* Commun. Contemp. Math., 14, 1250042, 2012.

[11] T-C Dinh and N. Sibony, *Upper bounds for topological entropy of a meromorphic correspondence,* Israel J. Math. 163 (2008), 29–44.
[12] T-C Dinh and N. Sibony, *Regularization of currents and entropy,* Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4), 37 (2004), no 6, 959–971.
[13] T-C Dinh and N. Sibony, *Une borne supérieure de l'entropie topologique d'une application rationnelle,* Annals of Math., 161 (2005), 1637–1644.
[14] T-C Dinh and N. Sibony, *Pullback of currents by holomorphic maps,* Manuscripta Math. 123 (2007), no. 3, 357–371.
[15] H. Esnault, K. Oguiso and X. Yu, *Automorphisms of elliptic $K3$ surfaces and Salem numbers of maximal degree,* arXiv: 1411.0769.
[16] H. Esnault and V. Srinivas, *Algebraic versus topological entropy for surfaces over finite fields,* Osaka J. Math. 50 (2013), no 3, 827–846.
[17] J. E. Fornaess and N. Sibony, *Complex dynamics in higher dimensions,* Notes partially written by Estela A. Gavosto. NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci. 459, Complex potential theory (Montreal, PQ, 1993), 131–186, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1994.
[18] E. M. Friedlander and H. B. Lawson, *Moving algebraic cycles of bounded degree,* Invent. math. 132 (1998), 91–119.
[19] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory,* 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1998.
[20] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry,* 1978, John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
[21] M. Gromov, *On the entropy of holomorphic maps,* Enseign. Math. (2), 49 (2003) no 3–4, 217–235. Manuscript (1977).
[22] A. Grothendieck, *Sur une note de Mattuck-Tate,* J. reine angew Math. 20 (1958), 208–215.
[23] J. Harris, *Algebraic geometry: a first course,* Springer-Verlag New York, 1992.
[24] H. Hironaka, *Flattening of analytic maps,* Manifolds-Tokyo 1973 (Proc. International Conf., Tokyo 1973), Univ. Tokyo Press, 1975, pp. 313–321.
[25] A. J. de Jong, *Smoothness, semi-stability and alterations,* Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., no 83 (1996), 51–93.
[26] S. Ishii and P. Milman, *The geometric minimal models of analytic spaces,* Math. Ann. 323 (2002), no 3, 437–451.
[27] J. Kollár, *Lectures on resolutions of singularities,* Annals of mathematics studies, Princeton University press, 2007.
[28] B. Moishezon, *Modifications of complex varieties and the Chow lemma,* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 412, Classification of algebraic varieties and compact complex manifolds, Springer-Verlag Heidelberg 1974, pp. 133–139.
[29] K. Oguiso, *Simple abelian varieties and primitive automorphisms of null entropy of surfaces,* arXiv: 1412.2535.
[30] K. Oguiso and T. T. Truong, *Explicit examples of rational and Calabi-Yau threefolds with primitive automorphisms of positive entropy,* J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 22 (2015), no. 1, 361–385. (Kodaira centennial issue.)
[31] R. Ramadas, *Hurwitz correspondences on compactifications of $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$,* arXiv: 1510.07277.
[32] J. Roberts, *Chow's moving lemma,* in Algebraic geometry, Oslo 1970, F. Oort (ed.), Wolters-Noordhoff Publ. Groningnen (1972), 89–96.
[33] A. Russakovskii and B. Shiffman, *Value distributions for sequences of rational mappings and complex dynamics,* Indiana Univ. Math. J. 46 (1997), 897–932.
[34] I. R. Shafarevich, *Basic algebraic geometry 1,* 2nd revised and expanded version, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York 1994.
[35] T. T. Truong, *Relative dynamical degrees of rational maps over an algebraic closed field,* arXiv: 1501.01523.
[36] T. T. Truong, *The simplicity of the first dynamical degree of a meromorphic map,* Michigan Math. J. 63 (2014), no 3, 623–633.
[37] J. Xie, *Periodic points of birational transformations on projective surfaces,* Duke Math. J. 164 (2015), no 5, 903–932.
[38] Y. Yomdin, *Volume growth and entropy,* Israel J. Math. 57 (3), (1987) 285–300.

[39] O. Zariski, *The reduction of the singularities of an algebraic surface,* Annals Math. (2) 40 (3), 639–689.
[40] O. Zariski, *Reduction of the singularities of algebraic three dimensional varieties,* Annals Math. (2) 45 (3), 472–542.
[41] D.-Q. Zhang, *Dynamics of automorphisms on projective complex manifolds,* J. Differential Geom. 82 (2009), no 3, 691–722.

School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide SA 5005, Australia
E-mail address: tuyen.truong@adelaide.edu.au